

Scoring Rubric for AP[®] Annual Conference Session Proposals

Proposal Description/Learning Outcomes

1 Does Not Meet Expectations	2 Somewhat Meets Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	4 Exceeds Expectations
<p>Session content isn't engaging or isn't valuable to participants.</p> <p>Description isn't relevant to intended audience.</p> <p>IACET guidelines aren't met. No learning outcomes are stated within the session description or learning outcomes aren't realistic for the session.</p> <p>Proposal isn't relevant to the session categories.</p> <p>Description isn't in publishable format—heavy edits are necessary.</p> <p>Title doesn't accurately describe the session.</p>	<p>Session content isn't clearly engaging or isn't clearly valuable to participants.</p> <p>Description is somewhat relevant to intended audience.</p> <p>IACET guidelines are somewhat met. Learning outcomes are vaguely stated within the session description and aren't measurable.</p> <p>Proposal is somewhat or isn't clearly relevant to the session categories.</p> <p>Description contains some grammar and spelling errors.</p> <p>Title somewhat describes the session.</p>	<p>Session content is engaging and high quality.</p> <p>Description and subject are relevant to intended audience.</p> <p>IACET guidelines are met. Learning outcomes are clearly stated and measurable.</p> <p>Proposal is relevant to the session categories.</p> <p>Description is in a publishable format using proper spelling and grammar.</p> <p>Title accurately and concisely describes the session.</p>	<p>Session content is extremely engaging and very high quality.</p> <p>Description and subject are extremely relevant to intended audience.</p> <p>IACET guidelines are met. Learning outcomes are clearly stated and measurable.</p> <p>Proposal is extremely relevant to the session categories.</p> <p>Description is in a publishable format using proper spelling and grammar.</p> <p>Title accurately and concisely describes the session.</p>

Compliance with Guidelines

1 Does Not Meet Expectations	2 Somewhat Meets Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	4 Exceeds Expectations
<p>Proposal lacks organization and efficiency.</p> <p>Proposal isn't relevant to the AP[®] community.</p> <p>Proposal is endorsing or selling a product.</p> <p>Required sections of the proposal form are missing or incomplete.</p> <p>More than two sessions are submitted by the same presenter.</p>	<p>Proposal is somewhat organized.</p> <p>Proposal isn't clearly relevant to the AP community.</p> <p>Proposal is potentially endorsing or selling a product.</p> <p>Form is mostly complete.</p>	<p>Proposal is sufficiently organized.</p> <p>Proposal is relevant to the AP community.</p> <p>Proposal adheres to word count limitations.</p> <p>All sections of form are complete.</p>	<p>Proposal is carefully organized.</p> <p>Proposal is extremely relevant to the AP community.</p> <p>Proposal adheres to word count limitations.</p> <p>All sections of the form are complete.</p>

Method of Delivery

1 Does Not Meet Expectations	2 Somewhat Meets Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	4 Exceeds Expectations
<p>Presentation plan is vague or unclear.</p> <p>Presentation method isn't stated or isn't engaging.</p> <p>Proposal outcomes can't be attained in 75 minutes.</p>	<p>Presentation plan is somewhat vague or unclear.</p> <p>Presentation method is vague and isn't clearly engaging.</p> <p>Proposal outcomes most likely can't be attained in 75 minutes.</p>	<p>Session description includes a clear presentation plan.</p> <p>Presentation method will make session engaging and interactive.</p> <p>Proposal outcomes can be attained in 75 minutes.</p>	<p>Session description includes a clear and well-thought-out presentation plan.</p> <p>Presentation method will make session highly engaging and interactive.</p> <p>Proposal outcomes can be attained in 75 minutes.</p>