



## **AP<sup>®</sup> Italian Language and Culture 2013 Scoring Guidelines**

### **The College Board**

The College Board is a mission-driven not-for-profit organization that connects students to college success and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the College Board was created to expand access to higher education. Today, the membership association is made up of over 6,000 of the world's leading educational institutions and is dedicated to promoting excellence and equity in education. Each year, the College Board helps more than seven million students prepare for a successful transition to college through programs and services in college readiness and college success — including the SAT<sup>®</sup> and the Advanced Placement Program<sup>®</sup>. The organization also serves the education community through research and advocacy on behalf of students, educators, and schools. The College Board is committed to the principles of excellence and equity, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

© 2013 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, SAT and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board. All other products and services may be trademarks of their respective owners.

**Visit the College Board on the Web: [www.collegeboard.org](http://www.collegeboard.org).**

**AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: [apcentral.collegeboard.org](http://apcentral.collegeboard.org).**



# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Interpersonal Writing: E-mail Reply

---

#### **5: STRONG performance in Interpersonal Writing**

- Maintains the exchange with a response that is clearly appropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides required information (e.g., responses to questions, request for details) with frequent elaboration
  - Fully understandable, with ease and clarity of expression; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Varied and appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage with few errors
  - Mostly consistent use of register appropriate for the situation; control of cultural conventions appropriate for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing), despite occasional errors
  - Variety of simple and compound sentences, and some complex sentences
- 

#### **4: GOOD performance in Interpersonal Writing**

- Maintains the exchange with a response that is generally appropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides required information (e.g., responses to questions, request for details) with some elaboration
  - Fully understandable, with some errors that do not impede comprehensibility
  - Varied and generally appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - General control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Generally consistent use of register appropriate for the situation, except for occasional shifts; basic control of cultural conventions appropriate for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing)
  - Simple, compound and a few complex sentences
- 

#### **3: FAIR performance in Interpersonal Writing**

- Maintains the exchange with a response that is somewhat appropriate but basic within the context of the task
  - Provides required information (e.g., responses to questions, request for details)
  - Generally understandable, with errors that may impede comprehensibility
  - Appropriate but basic vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Some control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Use of register may be inappropriate for the situation with several shifts; partial control of conventions for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing) although these may lack cultural appropriateness
  - Simple and a few compound sentences
- 

#### **2: WEAK performance in Interpersonal Writing**

- Partially maintains the exchange with a response that is minimally appropriate within the context of the task
- Provides some required information (e.g., responses to questions, request for details)
- Partially understandable, with errors that force interpretation and cause confusion for the reader
- Limited vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Limited control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Use of register is generally inappropriate for the situation; includes some conventions for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing) with inaccuracies
- Simple sentences and phrases

# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Interpersonal Writing: E-mail Reply (continued)

---

#### **1: POOR performance in Interpersonal Writing**

- Unsuccessfully attempts to maintain the exchange by providing a response that is inappropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides little required information (e.g., responses to questions, request for details)
  - Barely understandable, with frequent or significant errors that impede comprehensibility
  - Very few vocabulary resources
  - Little or no control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Minimal or no attention to register; includes significantly inaccurate or no conventions for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing)
  - Very simple sentences or fragments
- 

#### **0: UNACCEPTABLE performance in Interpersonal Writing**

- Mere restatement of language from the stimulus
  - Completely irrelevant to the stimulus
  - “I don’t know,” “I don’t understand,” or the equivalent in any language
  - Not in the language of the exam
- 

- (hyphen): **BLANK (no response)**

---

# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Presentational Writing: Persuasive Essay

---

#### 5: STRONG performance in Presentational Writing

- Effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates a high degree of comprehension of the sources' viewpoints with very few minor inaccuracies
- Integrates content from all three sources in support of the argument
- Presents and defends the student's own viewpoint on the topic with a high degree of clarity; develops a persuasive argument with coherence and detail
- Organized essay; effective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Fully understandable, with ease and clarity of expression; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility
- Varied and appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage with few errors
- Develops paragraph-length discourse with a variety of simple and compound sentences, and some complex sentences

---

#### 4: GOOD performance in Presentational Writing

- Generally effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; may include a few inaccuracies
- Summarizes, with limited integration, content from all three sources in support of the argument
- Presents and defends the student's own viewpoint on the topic with clarity; develops a persuasive argument with coherence
- Organized essay; some effective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Fully understandable, with some errors that do not impede comprehensibility
- Varied and generally appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
- General control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Develops mostly paragraph-length discourse with simple, compound, and a few complex sentences

---

#### 3: FAIR performance in Presentational Writing

- Suitable treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates a moderate degree of comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; includes some inaccuracies
- Summarizes content from at least two sources in support of the argument
- Presents and defends the student's own viewpoint on the topic; develops a somewhat persuasive argument with some coherence
- Some organization; limited use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Generally understandable, with errors that may impede comprehensibility
- Appropriate but basic vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Some control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Uses strings of mostly simple sentences, with a few compound sentences

# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Presentational Writing: Persuasive Essay (continued)

---

#### **2: WEAK performance in Presentational Writing**

- Unsuitable treatment of the topic within the context of the task
  - Demonstrates a low degree of comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; information may be limited or inaccurate
  - Summarizes content from one or two sources; may not support the argument
  - Presents, or at least suggests, the student's own viewpoint on the topic; develops an unpersuasive argument somewhat incoherently
  - Limited organization; ineffective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
  - Partially understandable, with errors that force interpretation and cause confusion for the reader
  - Limited vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Limited control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Uses strings of simple sentences and phrases
- 

#### **1: POOR performance in Presentational Writing**

- Almost no treatment of the topic within the context of the task
  - Demonstrates poor comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; includes frequent and significant inaccuracies
  - Mostly repeats statements from sources or may not refer to any sources
  - Minimally suggests the student's own viewpoint on the topic; argument is undeveloped or incoherent
  - Little or no organization; absence of transitional elements and cohesive devices
  - Barely understandable, with frequent or significant errors that impede comprehensibility
  - Very few vocabulary resources
  - Little or no control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Very simple sentences or fragments
- 

#### **0: UNACCEPTABLE performance in Presentational Writing**

- Mere restatement of language from the prompt
  - Clearly does not respond to the prompt; completely irrelevant to the topic
  - "I don't know," "I don't understand," or the equivalent in any language
  - Not in the language of the exam
- 

- (hyphen): **BLANK (no response)**

# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Interpersonal Speaking: Conversation

---

#### **5: STRONG performance in Interpersonal Speaking**

- Maintains the exchange with a series of responses that are clearly appropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides required information (e.g., responses to questions, statement and support of opinion) with frequent elaboration
  - Fully understandable, with ease and clarity of expression; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Varied and appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage with few errors
  - Mostly consistent use of register appropriate for the conversation
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response comprehensible; errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) improves comprehensibility
- 

#### **4: GOOD performance in Interpersonal Speaking**

- Maintains the exchange with a series of responses that are generally appropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides required information (e.g., responses to questions, statement and support of opinion) with some elaboration
  - Fully understandable, with some errors that do not impede comprehensibility
  - Varied and generally appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - General control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Generally consistent use of register appropriate for the conversation, except for occasional shifts
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response mostly comprehensible; errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) usually improves comprehensibility
- 

#### **3: FAIR performance in Interpersonal Speaking**

- Maintains the exchange with a series of responses that are somewhat appropriate within the context of the task
- Provides required information (e.g., responses to questions, statement and support of opinion)
- Generally understandable, with errors that may impede comprehensibility
- Appropriate but basic vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Some control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Use of register may be inappropriate for the conversation with several shifts
- Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response generally comprehensible; errors occasionally impede comprehensibility
- Clarification or self-correction (if present) sometimes improves comprehensibility

# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Interpersonal Speaking: Conversation (continued)

---

#### **2: WEAK performance in Interpersonal Speaking**

- Partially maintains the exchange with a series of responses that are minimally appropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides some required information (e.g., responses to questions, statement and support of opinion)
  - Partially understandable, with errors that force interpretation and cause confusion for the listener
  - Limited vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Limited control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Use of register is generally inappropriate for the conversation
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response difficult to comprehend at times; errors impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) usually does not improve comprehensibility
- 

#### **1: POOR performance in Interpersonal Speaking**

- Unsuccessfully attempts to maintain the exchange by providing a series of responses that are inappropriate within the context of the task
  - Provides little required information (e.g., responses to questions, statement and support of opinion)
  - Barely understandable, with frequent or significant errors that impede comprehensibility
  - Very few vocabulary resources
  - Little or no control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Minimal or no attention to register
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response difficult to comprehend; errors impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) does not improve comprehensibility
- 

#### **0: UNACCEPTABLE performance in Interpersonal Speaking**

- Mere restatement of language from the prompts
  - Clearly does not respond to the prompts
  - “I don’t know,” “I don’t understand” or the equivalent in any language
  - Not in the language of the exam
- 

- (hyphen): **BLANK (no response although recording equipment is functioning)**

# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Presentational Speaking: Cultural Comparison

---

#### 5: STRONG performance in Presentational Speaking

- Effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task
  - Clearly compares the student's own community with the target culture, including supporting details and relevant examples
  - Demonstrates understanding of the target culture, despite a few minor inaccuracies
  - Organized presentation; effective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
  - Fully understandable, with ease and clarity of expression; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Varied and appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage with few errors
  - Mostly consistent use of register appropriate for the presentation
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response comprehensible; errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) improves comprehensibility
- 

#### 4: GOOD performance in Presentational Speaking

- Generally effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task
  - Compares the student's own community with the target culture, including some supporting details and mostly relevant examples
  - Demonstrates some understanding of the target culture, despite minor inaccuracies
  - Organized presentation; some effective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
  - Fully understandable, with some errors that do not impede comprehensibility
  - Varied and generally appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - General control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Generally consistent use of register appropriate for the presentation, except for occasional shifts
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response mostly comprehensible; errors do not impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) usually improves comprehensibility
- 

#### 3: FAIR performance in Presentational Speaking

- Suitable treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Compares the student's own community with the target culture, including a few supporting details and examples
- Demonstrates a basic understanding of the target culture, despite inaccuracies
- Some organization; limited use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Generally understandable, with errors that may impede comprehensibility
- Appropriate but basic vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Some control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Use of register may be inappropriate for the presentation with several shifts
- Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response generally comprehensible; errors occasionally impede comprehensibility
- Clarification or self-correction (if present) sometimes improves comprehensibility



# AP<sup>®</sup> ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

## 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Presentational Speaking: Cultural Comparison (continued)

---

#### **2: WEAK performance in Presentational Speaking**

- Unsuitable treatment of the topic within the context of the task
  - Presents information about the student's own community and the target culture, but may not compare them; consists mostly of statements with no development
  - Demonstrates a limited understanding of the target culture; may include several inaccuracies
  - Limited organization; ineffective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
  - Partially understandable, with errors that force interpretation and cause confusion for the listener
  - Limited vocabulary and idiomatic language
  - Limited control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Use of register is generally inappropriate for the presentation
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response difficult to comprehend at times; errors impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) usually does not improve comprehensibility
- 

#### **1: POOR performance in Presentational Speaking**

- Almost no treatment of the topic within the context of the task
  - Presents information only about the student's own community or the target culture; may not include examples
  - Demonstrates minimal understanding of the target culture; generally inaccurate
  - Little or no organization; absence of transitional elements and cohesive devices
  - Barely understandable, with frequent or significant errors that impede comprehensibility
  - Very few vocabulary resources
  - Little or no control of grammar, syntax, and usage
  - Minimal or no attention to register
  - Pronunciation, intonation, and pacing make the response difficult to comprehend; errors impede comprehensibility
  - Clarification or self-correction (if present) does not improve comprehensibility
- 

#### **0: UNACCEPTABLE performance in Presentational Speaking**

- Mere restatement of language from the prompt
  - Clearly does not respond to the prompt; completely irrelevant to the topic
  - "I don't know," "I don't understand" or the equivalent in any language
  - Not in the language of the exam
- 

- (hyphen): **BLANK (no response although recording equipment is functioning)**