AP[®] FRENCH LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Presentational Writing: Persuasive Essay

5: STRONG performance in Presentational Writing

- Effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates a high degree of comprehension of the sources' viewpoints with very few minor inaccuracies
- Integrates content from all three sources in support of the argument
- Presents and defends the student's own viewpoint on the topic with a high degree of clarity; develops a persuasive argument with coherence and detail
- Organized essay; effective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Fully understandable, with ease and clarity of expression; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility
- Varied and appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage with few errors
- Develops paragraph-length discourse with a variety of simple and compound sentences, and some complex sentences

4: GOOD performance in Presentational Writing

- Generally effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; may include a few inaccuracies
- Summarizes, with limited integration, content from all three sources in support of the argument
- Presents and defends the student's own viewpoint on the topic with clarity; develops a persuasive argument with coherence
- Organized essay; some effective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Fully understandable, with some errors that do not impede comprehensibility
- Varied and generally appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
- General control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Develops mostly paragraph-length discourse with simple, compound, and a few complex sentences

3: FAIR performance in Presentational Writing

- Suitable treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates a moderate degree of comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; includes some inaccuracies
- Summarizes content from at least two sources in support of the argument
- Presents and defends the student's own viewpoint on the topic; develops a somewhat persuasive argument with some coherence
- Some organization; limited use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Generally understandable, with errors that may impede comprehensibility
- Appropriate but basic vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Some control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Uses strings of mostly simple sentences, with a few compound sentences

AP[®] FRENCH LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Presentational Writing: Persuasive Essay (continued)

2: WEAK performance in Presentational Writing

- Unsuitable treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates a low degree of comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; information may be limited or inaccurate
- Summarizes content from one or two sources; may not support the argument
- Presents, or at least suggests, the student's own viewpoint on the topic; develops an unpersuasive argument somewhat incoherently
- Limited organization; ineffective use of transitional elements or cohesive devices
- Partially understandable, with errors that force interpretation and cause confusion for the reader
- Limited vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Limited control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Uses strings of simple sentences and phrases

1: POOR performance in Presentational Writing

- Almost no treatment of the topic within the context of the task
- Demonstrates poor comprehension of the sources' viewpoints; includes frequent and significant inaccuracies
- Mostly repeats statements from sources or may not refer to any sources
- Minimally suggests the student's own viewpoint on the topic; argument is undeveloped or incoherent
- Little or no organization; absence of transitional elements and cohesive devices
- Barely understandable, with frequent or significant errors that impede comprehensibility
- Very few vocabulary resources
- Little or no control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Very simple sentences or fragments

0: UNACCEPTABLE performance in Presentational Writing

- Mere restatement of language from the prompt
- Clearly does not respond to the prompt; completely irrelevant to the topic
- "I don't know," "I don't understand," or the equivalent in any language
- Not in the language of the exam

- (hyphen): BLANK (no response)

Aujourd hui, 11 y a beauccup des raisons on pour devenir végéterien. que beaucap Je crain t ne puissent pas comprendre que la viunde des personnes beaucap des risques, pour la pour sam Nenvironnement. 11 Faut À mon avis arrêter de viande 10 et devenir végéterien manger beaucap de raisons. benefits de végétarienisme ton sont Les Leaucaup. Source numéro 3 est tres antomative informative. Je suis d'accord que les 0106000000 viande. Vraiment, dix-huit percent I a consommation problèms O DEASED STON 10 pire que les voltures le +ransport N 1000 VAA chiffres -er Le sont terribles Sources Chauffernent mondiale est un defi tres grand. devient autre raison pour lequelle un pour la rause animaux. Je végétarien est veux pas tuer des animaux, parce-que er ne animaw x ont des drolts owssi, source 3 est diacrord un vrai rabon. 11 animawx est couse que la un raison pour la santé physique 429 pas mais la santé emotional. thes difficil AUSSI, 11 niest détre végétarien par Je sais les doit vitamine, minéraux et av'on

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

-13-

protéines, comme le fer et les vitaminer du groupe source numérol). Cependant, on peut manger maxim choses dams lighter nourritores. Les beaucap diditernatives supermomohes ont source numéro 3). Je duit dire avil tout la monde de mange possible pour niest pas En fait il v a des erten vear personner que doivent doivent la de fer et beaucap viande Mais (CA) monde essayer de mange moins taut 10 peut y a des sites de 11 Viande. SUr Minte 10 rourie numéro 3 es+ une example pour la santé est risque trop grave a VICINDO. de manger beowcoup de continuer à au'al % de tonne gocomot risquer 40 CA _de pour ce $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{X}$ qui ementalites tumeur Suppl DO por jour, de vlande Source 200 a magnt un tres défi cancer est évo grand Ø essayer d'arrêter faut est 0 de viende. trop Aussi a des manger chelestanérol. La coeur de est Drob(er mieux quion choisit Nthe une aut damper fure CUTTI dit numero ave en genera 10 viande dimnue de la 0 consummation dans réconter. 11 montre que generalement annels les

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

Franc, aison (et probablement dans tout la les gens anjourd hui mange & moins de viande monde les années récontes (pour example OUI, 1998 consummation de la vlande rebondit <u>en 2007</u> diaccord avec en general le tableau est mais est maurals pour 10 sante. vue, qu'il mon point de En round conclusion, ie d01+ arreter pense auton de manger de la viande, où de essayer mang moins de la viande. Il est important pour 1a sant des ta somté de l'environnent. the manimanx, le corps divne personne. SI on he peut pas arrêter manger la viande, on peut essay er de sevenent les vlandes blanches et cle manger Ils out moins des source numéro r enoissons US . ment, la Oxor Franche 10 COEUr. risques nour to any many also sera touiours une nourriture Viande mais ULS moins, gens moma 0000

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.

- -

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

-15-

de naisons ACT VoЛ DON n es Do Not Write Beyond This Border OD 10 MIL V MA

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

-13-

developper ly cancer, mous MANDO 0 Ø Malago AT ISP A consormation ē sêrlig Do Not Write Beyond This Border POA 1

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

© 2013 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

-14-

MAIDUA . Do Not Write Beyond This Border IOMN 0 ga eð

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.

-15-

22222222222222222222222 oue lle paisons alve 65 on acit Jour DAG Saph forien linde NK RS Vian 0 O Kalons a leaser Do Not Write Beyond This Border Nien PB mi 0 do a ĺ mon - _ Î ance. Qu. Dacir Ê Cal toines. pr e vande rouge Stre pour Ruf excess Pour lune 6 dec an ρ, DK Condusion SUN moo Vions in assoc ON nenir P 013 Ċ Ph

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.

-13-

AP[®] FRENCH LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Task 2: Persuasive Essay

Note: Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain grammatical errors.

Overview

This task assessed writing in the presentational communicative mode by having students write a persuasive essay on a given topic while referencing three sources of information about the topic. Students were first allotted 6 minutes to read the essay topic and the two printed sources. They then had to listen to one audio source. Afterward, they had 40 minutes to write the essay. The response received a single holistic score based on how well it accomplished the assigned task. Students had to be able first to comprehend the three sources and then to present their different viewpoints. They needed to also present their own viewpoint and defend it thoroughly, using information from all of the sources to support the essay. As they referred to the sources, they had to identify them appropriately. Furthermore, the essay had to be organized into clear paragraphs.

The course theme for the persuasive essay task was *"les défis mondiaux."* Students had to write a persuasive essay on the following topic: *"Should we stop eating meat and become vegetarian in order to be healthy?"* The first source was a 2009 online article titled *"Should we stop eating meat?"* The article stated that eating meat, especially red meat, can be harmful to one's health, though eating no meat at all can also cause health problems. It concluded that people should consume a well-balanced diet including less red meat and more poultry and fish. The second source was a graph that showed that meat consumption in France fell between 1996 and 2006 but rebounded in 2007. The third source was a 2009 radio interview of the head of a vegetarian association who explained why he became a vegetarian and why vegetarianism is good for the environment. He also claimed that it is easier and easier to find alternatives to animal products.

Sample: 2A Score: 4

This essay demonstrates generally effective treatment of the topic within the context of the task. The student starts with a very good introductory paragraph in which the student's point of view is clearly stated ("*Il faut, à mon avis, arrêter de manger de la viande et devenir végétarien*"). The student demonstrates comprehension of all three sources in support of this point of view. The essay is organized with some effective use of transitional elements ("*Aussi*"; "*Cependant*"; "*En fait*"; "*Il vaut mieux*"). This essay is fully understandable despite the presence of errors. ("*Je craint que beaucoup des personnes ne puissent pas comprendre* ..."). There is general control of grammar, syntax, and usage, with some minor errors ("*Un autre raison pour lequelle* ..."; "*Je doit dire* ..."; "*Je suis étonne qu'il y a 40%* ..."). The student develops mostly paragraph-length discourse with simple, compound, and a few complex sentences. Overall, this essay is an example of good performance and earned a score of 4.

Sample: 2B Score: 3

The student presents and defends a point of view with some coherence ("*je ne le trouve pas necessaire devenir végétarien pour être en bonne santé*"; "*si nous utilisons de la moderation en la mangeant, il n'est pas necéssaire devenir végétarien pour être en bonne santé*"). The student demonstrates at least a moderate degree of comprehension of the sources and summarizes content from all three sources. There is generally appropriate vocabulary used throughout ("raisons pour lesquelles";"quelques individus";

AP[®] FRENCH LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Task 2: Persuasive Essay (continued)

"tomber malade"; "les peuples afaimés"). The essay is generally understandable, with some errors that impede comprehensibility ("les balances naturelles se disruptent"; "la naturalesse compte avec les êtres humains"). The essay provides more than a string of simple sentences, as it includes a few compound sentences and also a few complex sentences ("nous avons besoin des vitamins, minéraux et protéines qui sont presentes dans la viande et si elles nous manquent parce que nous avons peur ... du cancer, nous pouvons tomber malade"). The essay is an example of fair performance in presentational writing and earned a score of 3.

Sample: 2C Score: 1

This essay almost exclusively repeats statements from the three different sources. The last sentence ("*II faux êtré choisite d'etre venir végétarien*") is the only original element and is incomprehensible. There is almost no treatment of the topic and little evidence of comprehension of the sources. As a result, the essay earned a score of 1.