

AP[®] Macroeconomics 2000 Scoring Commentary

The materials included in these files are intended for non-commercial use by AP teachers for course and exam preparation; permission for any other use must be sought from the Advanced Placement Program. Teachers may reproduce them, in whole or in part, in limited quantities, for face-to-face teaching purposes but may not mass distribute the materials, electronically or otherwise. These materials and any copies made of them may not be resold, and the copyright notices must be retained as they appear here. This permission does not apply to any third-party copyrights contained herein.

These materials were produced by Educational Testing Service (ETS), which develops and administers the examinations of the Advanced Placement Program for the College Board. The College Board and Educational Testing Service (ETS) are dedicated to the principle of equal opportunity, and their programs, services, and employment policies are guided by that principle.

The College Board is a national nonprofit membership association dedicated to preparing, inspiring, and connecting students to college and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the association is composed of more than 3,900 schools, colleges, universities, and other educational organizations. Each year, the College Board serves over three sudents and their parents, 22,000 high schools, and 3,500 colleges, through major programs and services in college admission, guidance, assessment, financial aid, enrollment, and teaching and learning. Among its best-known programs are the SAT®, the PSAT/NMSQT™, the Advanced Placement Program® (AP®), and Pacesetter®. The College Board is committed to the principles of equity and excellence, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

AP® MACROECONOMICS 2000 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1

This long macro question was aimed at testing the student's understanding of aggregate analysis and, in particular, macroeconomic policy tools and their relative effectiveness. The student needed to understand the concept of full employment, as well as recession. Moreover, the student needed to understand the relative effectiveness of increasing government spending compared to reducing taxes. Also, the student needed to assess the impacts on imports and exports from an expansionary fiscal policy. Finally, the questioned tested the student's understanding of both the short-run and long-run impacts of an increase in net investment.

Often students made unsubstantiated assertions in part c, stating, for example, that "consumption increases" or "imports increase." These assertions received no credit. The question clearly demanded that the student "explain" the result. Students too frequently confuse "money" and "income." As a result, readers all stated that teachers and students need to show a greater concern for the proper use of macroeconomic aggregates. Also, many students confused the short-run and long-run impacts of the increase in net investment. Few students moved from the short-run increase in aggregate demand to the increase in the capital stock and the resulting increase in aggregate supply in the long run.

Question 2

This question was aimed at testing the student's understanding of the working of a foreign exchange market. In particular, the student needed to assess the impact on the value of the dollar from a change in tastes towards more French goods; then the student was asked to assess the market impact from an increase in real interest rates in the United States.

Students showed significant confusion concerning the working of the foreign exchange market, often confusing this market with the domestic money market. Many students wrote long responses that did not address the salient points of this question.

Question 3

Frequently students provided an incomplete or inaccurate graph in part a, which should have been a relatively simple question. As in question one, some students demonstrated confusion between money and income, labeling the horizontal axis of the money market in part a) "income." Also, students, not understanding the short-run nature of the question, too frequently shifted the AS curve in part c.

Finally, as in the past, readers felt that students did not pay sufficient attention to the correct labeling of the axes of their graphs. Also, as readers, we had the impression that some students taking the AP Macroeconomics Exam might have had only the AP Microeconomics course. Without having studied macroeconomics, a student is really not using her/his time well to take this exam. As always, we urge you to stress to students the importance of writing clear and neat responses that are sequenced with the different parts of the question.