



AP[®] Spanish Literature 2012 Scoring Guidelines

The College Board

The College Board is a mission-driven not-for-profit organization that connects students to college success and opportunity. Founded in 1900, the College Board was created to expand access to higher education. Today, the membership association is made up of more than 5,900 of the world's leading educational institutions and is dedicated to promoting excellence and equity in education. Each year, the College Board helps more than seven million students prepare for a successful transition to college through programs and services in college readiness and college success — including the SAT[®] and the Advanced Placement Program[®]. The organization also serves the education community through research and advocacy on behalf of students, educators, and schools. The College Board is committed to the principles of excellence and equity, and that commitment is embodied in all of its programs, services, activities, and concerns.

© 2012 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, SAT and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board. All other products and services may be trademarks of their respective owners. Permission to use copyrighted College Board materials may be requested online at: www.collegeboard.com/inquiry/cbpermit.html.

Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org.



AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE 2012 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 1: Poetry Analysis

9 DEMONSTRATES SUPERIORITY

- Essay is very well developed and clearly and thoroughly **analyzes** the relationship of the poetic voice with the opposing concepts presented in the poem.
- Accurately discusses how poetic language and devices are integrated with the poem's theme.
- Commentary is supported with specific textual references.
- Demonstrates insight; may show originality.
- Contains virtually no irrelevant or erroneous information.
- Reader has no doubt that the student possesses an insightful understanding of the poem and the question.

7–8 DEMONSTRATES COMPETENCE

- Essay is well developed and **analyzes** the relationship of the poetic voice with the opposing concepts presented in the poem.
- Textual analysis outweighs description and paraphrasing.
- Discusses how poetic language and devices are integrated with the poem's theme.
- Commentary is supported with specific textual references.
- The reader may have to make some inferences because the essay is not always sufficiently explicit.
- May contain some errors, but these do not undermine the overall quality of the essay.
- The essay must include some treatment of poetic language and devices used in the poem to merit a score of 7.

5–6 SUGGESTS COMPETENCE

- Student basically understands the question and the poem, but the essay is not well focused or developed.
- Description and paraphrasing outweigh textual analysis.
- There is limited discussion of how poetic language and devices are integrated with the poem's theme.
- Erroneous or repetitive statements may intrude and weaken the overall quality of the essay.
- May require significant inferences because the response is not always explicit.
- An essay that does not address poetic language and devices must be good to merit a score of 5.

3–4 SUGGESTS LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Essay is so general as to suggest that the student has not adequately understood the question or the poem.
- Essay is poorly organized; focus wanders; comments are sketchy.
- May consist almost entirely of paraphrasing or mere listing of poetic language and devices.
- Irrelevant statements may predominate.
- May contain major errors of interpretation that detract from the overall quality of the essay.

1–2 DEMONSTRATES LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Essay demonstrates that the student has not understood the question or the poem.
- Essay lacks organization or is chaotic.
- Examples are inappropriate or incorrect; OR examples are absent.

AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE 2012 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 1: Poetry Analysis (continued)

0 NO CREDIT

- Mere restates the question; OR is so brief or so poorly written as to be meaningless; OR is written in English; OR is crossed out; OR is completely off topic or off task (obscenity, nonsense poetry, drawings, letter to the reader, etc.).

— BLANK PAGE

AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE 2012 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2: Thematic Analysis

9 DEMONSTRATES SUPERIORITY

- Essay is very well developed and convincingly and explicitly **analyzes** the theme of *el engaño* in the work selected.
- Analyzes appropriate examples from the chosen work to support the response.
- Demonstrates insight; may show originality.
- Contains virtually no irrelevant or erroneous information.
- Reveals an exceptional understanding of the theme of *el engaño* as it figures in the work.

7–8 DEMONSTRATES COMPETENCE

- Essay is well developed and convincingly **analyzes** the theme of *el engaño* in the work selected.
- Analysis predominates; any plot summary or description serves to support the analysis.
- Provides appropriate examples from the chosen work to support the response.
- May reveal some insight or originality.
- The reader may need to make some inferences because the response is not always sufficiently explicit.
- May contain some erroneous information, but errors do not significantly affect the overall quality of the essay.

5–6 SUGGESTS COMPETENCE

- Student basically understands the question and the work selected, but the essay is not always well focused or sufficiently developed.
- Attempts to analyze the theme of *el engaño* in the work selected, but commentary is relatively superficial.
- Plot summary predominates but is connected to the attempted analysis.
- May require significant inferences because the response is not always explicit.
- May contain errors of fact or interpretation that detract from the overall quality of the essay.

3–4 SUGGESTS LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Essay suggests that the student has not adequately understood the question or the work.
- Essay is poorly organized; focus wanders; comments are sketchy.
- May consist almost entirely of plot summary.
- Irrelevant comments may predominate.
- Possibly is a prepared overview of the text or the author with limited connection to the question.
- May contain major errors that weaken the overall quality of the essay.

1–2 DEMONSTRATES LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Essay demonstrates a lack of understanding of the question or the chosen work.
- Lacks organization or is chaotic.
- Examples are inappropriate or incorrect; OR examples are absent.
- Demonstrates unfamiliarity with the chosen work.

**AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE
2012 SCORING GUIDELINES**

Question 2: Thematic Analysis (continued)

0 NO CREDIT

- Merely restates the question; OR is on task but is so brief or so poorly written as to be meaningless; OR is written in English; OR is crossed out; OR is completely off topic or off task (obscenity, nonsense poetry, drawings, letter to the reader, etc.).

— BLANK PAGE

AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE 2012 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 3(a): Text Analysis

5 DEMONSTRATES SUPERIORITY

- Clearly and accurately analyzes the relationship between the narrator and Chac Mool in the passage cited.
- Organization contributes to the quality of the response.
- Provides examples from the passage that clearly and explicitly support the analysis.
- Contains virtually no irrelevant or erroneous commentary.
- May show insight or originality.

4 DEMONSTRATES COMPETENCE

- Analyzes the relationship between the narrator and Chac Mool in the passage cited.
- Organization supports the response.
- Provides examples from the passage that support the analysis.
- May contain some errors of fact or interpretation, but the overall quality of the response is not significantly affected.
- There may be some ambiguity or incompleteness, but the response clearly demonstrates competence.

3 SUGGESTS COMPETENCE

- Basically understands and addresses the question and the passage cited.
- Attempts to analyze the relationship between the narrator and Chac Mool in the passage.
- Errors, ambiguity, or incompleteness detract from the quality of the answer.
- Paraphrasing may predominate; contains relatively superficial commentary.
- Reader may have to make some inferences because the response is not always explicit.

2 SUGGESTS LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Student has not adequately understood the question or the passage cited, or both.
- May not address the relationship between the narrator and Chac Mool in the passage.
- May contain irrelevant comments or significant errors.
- Possibly a prepared overview of Fuentes or “Chac Mool.”
- May consist entirely of paraphrasing or plot summary.
- The reader is forced to make significant inferences.

1 DEMONSTRATES LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Does not address the question.
- Demonstrates a lack of understanding of the passage cited.
- Does not address the relationship between the narrator and Chac Mool in the passage cited.
- Is confused, chaotic, or incorrect.

0 NO CREDIT

- Merely restates the question; OR is on task but is so brief or so poorly written as to be meaningless; OR is written in English; OR is crossed out; OR is completely off topic or off task (obscenity, nonsense poetry, drawings, letter to the reader, etc.).

— BLANK RESPONSE

AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE 2012 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 3(b): Text Analysis

5 DEMONSTRATES SUPERIORITY

- Clearly and accurately explains the changes Chac Mool experiences in his process of humanization in the passage cited and in the rest of the story.
- Organization contributes to the quality of the response.
- Provides examples that clearly and explicitly support the explanation.
- Contains virtually no irrelevant or erroneous commentary.
- May show insight or originality.

4 DEMONSTRATES COMPETENCE

- Explains the changes Chac Mool experiences in his process of humanization in the passage cited and in the rest of the story.
- Organization supports the response.
- Provides examples that support the explanation.
- May contain some errors of fact or interpretation, but they do not significantly affect the overall quality of the response.
- There may be some ambiguity or incompleteness, but the response clearly demonstrates competence.

3 SUGGESTS COMPETENCE

- Student basically understands and addresses the question.
- Attempts to explain the changes Chac Mool experiences in his process of humanization in the passage cited and in the rest of the story.
- Errors, ambiguity, or incompleteness detract from the quality of the answer.
- Paraphrasing or plot summary outweighs commentary.
- Reader may have to make inferences because the response is not always explicit.
- If the student explains the changes in Chac Mool in the passage cited but not in the rest of the story, or vice versa, discussion must be good to merit a 3.

2 SUGGESTS LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Student has not adequately understood or addressed the question.
- May not address the changes Chac Mool experiences in his process of humanization in the passage cited and in the rest of the story.
- May contain irrelevant comments or significant errors.
- Possibly a prepared overview of Fuentes or “Chac Mool.”
- May consist entirely of paraphrasing or plot summary.
- The reader is forced to make significant inferences.

1 DEMONSTRATES LACK OF COMPETENCE

- Student demonstrates a lack of understanding of the question.
- Demonstrates a lack of understanding of the passage cited or the story.
- May demonstrate unfamiliarity with “Chac Mool.”
- Confused, chaotic, or incorrect.

**AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE
2012 SCORING GUIDELINES**

Question 3(b): Text Analysis (continued)

0 NO CREDIT

- Merely restates the question; OR is on task but is so brief or so poorly written as to be meaningless; OR is written in English; response is crossed out; OR is completely off topic or off task (obscenity, nonsense poetry, drawings, letter to the reader, etc.).

— BLANK RESPONSE

AP[®] SPANISH LITERATURE 2012 SCORING GUIDELINES

Language Usage

The AP Spanish Literature Exam tests the ability of students to write well-organized essays in correct and idiomatic Spanish. These scoring guidelines assess **the degree to which language usage effectively supports an on-task response to the question**. All the criteria listed below should be taken into account in categorizing the student's command of the written language as related to each literature question.

5 **VERY GOOD COMMAND**

- Infrequent, random errors in grammatical structures.
- Varied and accurate use of vocabulary.
- Control of the conventions of the written language (spelling, accents, punctuation, paragraphing, etc.).

4 **GOOD COMMAND**

- Some errors in grammatical structures; however, these do not detract from the overall readability of the response.
- Appropriate use of vocabulary.
- Conventions of the written language are generally correct (spelling, accents, punctuation, paragraphing, etc.).

3 **ADEQUATE COMMAND**

- Frequent grammatical errors, but response is comprehensible.
- Limited vocabulary.
- May have numerous errors in conventions of the written language (spelling, accents, punctuation, paragraphing, etc.).

2 **WEAK COMMAND**

- Serious grammatical errors that force a sympathetic reader to supply inferences.
- Very limited or repetitive vocabulary.
- Pervasive errors in the conventions of the written language.

1 **INADEQUATE COMMAND**

- Constant grammatical errors that render comprehension difficult.
- Insufficient vocabulary.
- Lack of control of the conventions of the written language.

0 **NO CREDIT**

- Unintelligible, written in English, or off task.

— **BLANK RESPONSE**