### **Question 4**

Compare and contrast the ways that many Americans expressed their opposition to immigrants in the 1840s–1850s with the ways that many Americans expressed their opposition to immigrants in the 1910s–1920s.

#### The 8–9 Essay

- Contains a clear, well-developed thesis that compares and contrasts the ways that many Americans expressed their opposition to immigrants in the 1840s–1850s with the ways Americans expressed their opposition in the 1910s–1920s.
- Develops the thesis with substantial relevant and specific historical information related to the ways that many Americans expressed opposition to immigration in both the 1840s–1850s and the 1910s–1920s.
- Provides effective analysis of the ways Americans expressed their opposition in both periods; treatment of time periods may be somewhat uneven.
- May contain minor errors that do not detract from the overall quality of the essay.
- Is well organized and well written.

### The 5–7 Essay

- Contains a partially developed thesis that compares and contrasts the ways that many Americans expressed their opposition to immigrants in the 1840s–1850s with the ways Americans expressed their opposition in the 1910s–1920s.
- Supports the thesis with some relevant and specific historical information related to the ways that many Americans expressed opposition to immigration in both the 1840s–1850s and the 1910s–1920s.
- Provides some analysis of the ways Americans expressed their opposition in both periods; treatment of time periods may be substantially uneven.
- May contain errors that do not seriously detract from the overall quality of the essay.
- Has acceptable organization and writing.

#### The 2–4 Essay

- Contains an unfocused or limited thesis, or simply paraphrases the question.
- Provides minimal relevant information, or lists facts with little or no application to the question.
- May partially address both time periods with limited or no analysis, or may address only one time period.
- May have major errors.
- May be poorly organized and/or written.

### The 0–1 Essay

- Lacks a thesis or simply restates the question.
- Demonstrates an incompetent or inappropriate response.
- Has numerous errors.
- Is organized and/or written so poorly that it inhibits understanding.

#### The - Essay

• Is completely off topic or blank.

### **Question 4 (continued)**

#### Information List: 1840s–1850s

- Arguments against immigration and immigrants:
  - Ethnic neighborhoods in cities viewed with suspicion; slums, poverty, crime, alcoholism, disease.
  - Immigrants were inherently inferior to "older-stock Americans."
  - Workers argued that immigrants worked for lower wages, taking jobs from native-born labor force.
  - Whigs complained that immigrants voted Democratic and would corrupt the political process.
  - Taxpayers would have to pay for schools, hospitals, civic services.
- Much opposition to Irish immigrants: in Boston, "No Irish Need Apply" signs; cultural denigration of Irish immigrants: Irish caricatured as monkeys, drunks, "non-white" (Irish responded with insistence on their "whiteness").
- Anti-Catholicism mixed with anti-immigrant sentiment.
  - Philadelphia, 1844 "Bible Riots" (aka: "Nannygoat Market Riots"): attacks on priests/nuns; Catholic churches burned and vandalized; fighting killed and wounded a number of people.
  - Riots between native-born and Irish workers on canal and railroad projects.
  - Lurid anti-Catholic/anti-immigrant popular literature and cartoons (Maria Monk, *Awful Disclosures of Maria Monk; or, The Hidden Secrets of a Nun's Life in a Convent Exposed,* 1836).
  - Louisville, KY, 1855 "Bloody Monday" election riots: Democrats vs. Know-Nothings, people killed.
- Not as much opposition to German immigrants (mostly Protestant, had more skills and resources, settled in sparsely populated areas of the Midwest as family groups), although sometimes they were regarded with suspicion (particularly Catholic German immigrants); German immigrants were often more educated and had more financial resources than their American neighbors.
- Discrimination against Chinese and Mexicans in mining camps in the 1850s.
- California: various anti-Chinese laws and court rulings in the 1850s:
  - 1852, Foreign Miners License Tax: \$4/month tax; primarily aimed at Chinese.
  - 1854, People v. Hall (CA Supreme Court): existing state law said blacks, mulattoes, and Indians could not testify against whites; the court ruled that the law was meant to apply to all non-whites; established that no Chinese immigrants or Chinese-Americans could testify against white citizens in court.
  - 1855 and 1858: laws passed to stop Chinese immigration to the state (laws found unconstitutional).
- Discrimination in 1840s–1850s against Hispanics (Tejanos) in Texas (particularly after annexation) and in California, as more Anglo settlers moved to both areas.
  - 1850, Foreign Miners' Tax in CA: \$20/month tax on California-born Mexican American laborers (although they had been granted citizenship by the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo); repealed in 1851.
  - 1855: CA legislature outlawed bullfights and adopted an antivagrancy act aimed at Mexican American laborers.

## **Question 4 (continued)**

- Nativism in 1850s violence against immigrants:
  - 1854, New Orleans: vigilance committee vs. Catholics/immigrants, clashed in Jackson Square.
  - 1854, Lawrence, MA: Irish neighborhood destroyed.
  - 1855, Chicago Lager Beer Riots: mayor's attempt to close saloons on Sunday met with protests by German, Irish, Swedish workingmen; National Guard, militia called out; martial law imposed.
- Riots a factor in the creation of urban police forces and attempts to control the volunteer fire companies, which were often ethnic-oriented political gangs (i.e., primary reason behind the 1854 expansion of the city of Philadelphia from 2 to 129 sq. miles, to incorporate the immigrant suburbs of Kensington and Southwark to extend police jurisdiction to these immigrant areas).
- 1845 Philadelphia convention of nativists formed the Native American Party.
- American Party (1850; popularly known as Know-Nothings; grew out of the 1849-50 Supreme Order of the Star-Spangled Banner in NY):
  - Grew to 1,500,000 by 1854.
  - Attracted anti-immigrant Whigs, anti-Catholics.
  - Membership restricted to native-born, white Protestants, who were sworn to secrecy.
  - Accused immigrants of plotting with Catholics to overthrow U.S. democracy; disliked Catholic Church's opposition to liberal European revolutions of 1848.
  - Thought immigration was responsible for rising crime and rising rate of relief for the poor.
  - Supported extension of period for naturalization from 5 to 21 years; ban on naturalized citizens' holding of public office; use of Protestant Bible (King James version) in public schools; head tax to inhibit immigration; literacy test for voting; restriction on the sale of liquor.
  - Popular in MA (1854, elected 11 congressmen, governor, all state officers, all state senators), PA, NY, DE; made gains in 1854 congressional elections (74 Know-Nothing congressmen); 1855: won MD, KY, TX, and won candidates in NY, CA, TN, VA, GA, AL, MS, LA.
  - Western members of party did not oppose naturalized Protestants because of German voters.
  - Split over antislavery into northern and southern wings in 1855; party declined by 1856.
  - 1856: ran Millard Fillmore for president (polled 22 percent of popular vote; 8 electoral votes).

### Information List: 1910s-1920s

- Some Progressives favored immigration restriction as a way to solve urban problems (poverty, overcrowding, social unrest, unemployment, drug use); temperance was partly an expression of the belief that immigrants' use of liquor had to be "disciplined"; some Progressives saw Americanization as a way to break immigrants' ethnic culture.
- Popularization of ideas of racial hierarchy: eugenics; Madison Grant, *The Passing of the Great Race*, 1916; army IQ tests administered during WWI seemed to suggest that blacks and new immigrants had inferior intelligence to native, white Protestants.
- Popular concepts of degrees of "whiteness" started to change into "absolute whiteness" and "absolute colored"; for example, the Irish were no longer a variation of "whiteness" but instead they were white; new thinking greatly simplified dividing the world's peoples into desirable and undesirable groups.
- Dillingham Commission Report, 1911 (federal commission began in 1907): argued that newer immigrants were less assimilable than earlier immigrants.

## **Question 4 (continued)**

- Fear of immigrants during WWI:
  - Anti-German, anti-Irish sentiment.
  - Demand for "100 percent Americanism," particularly by Committee on Public Information.
  - Some states and towns burned German books, music, language instruction (16 states by end of the war).
  - Popular renaming of German items, e.g., "liberty cabbage" for sauerkraut, "liberty steaks" for hamburgers.
  - Vigilantism against German Americans and German aliens; many in the Midwest were particularly vulnerable.
    - Milwaukee: Loyalty League convinced school board to ban teaching of German, closed German theaters and music halls.
    - American Protective League: sought to purge radicals and reformers, wiretapped phones, intercepted private mail, burglarized union offices, broke up German-language newspapers, harassed immigrants.
  - 1917 Trading with the Enemy Act: postmaster general authorized to suspend mailing privileges of foreign-language periodicals and newspapers deemed offensive to the government.
  - 1918 Alien Act: deportation of any noncitizen who advocated revolution or anarchism.
- 1917 Immigration Act:
  - Required literacy test (earlier attempts to pass literacy tests had been vetoed by Cleveland in 1896, by Taft in 1913, and by Wilson in 1915; in 1917 Wilson vetoed yet another such bill; this act was passed over his veto).
  - Created an "Asiatic Barred Zone" (Japan and Korea left out of it, but prior limitations on laborers from China and Japan still applied).
  - Mexicans exempted temporarily.
- Some western states forbade Asian immigrants to own or lease land.
  - CA 1913 Alien Land Act: "aliens not eligible for citizenship" could not purchase or lease land for longer than three years.
  - CA 1920 Alien Land Act: no rentals of land by aliens ineligible for citizenship or by corporations with stock held by aliens ineligible for citizenship.
- Red Scare, 1919-20 (Palmer Raids): linked Bolshevism, socialism, radicalism with immigrants.
- Increased immigration was blamed for post-WWI depression and unemployment; AFL proposed to halt immigration for two years; fear that immigrant workers raised unemployment and lowered wages.
- Prohibition, 1920: drinking associated with Catholic immigrants, particularly urban ones; seen as a way to "discipline" new immigrant population; brewing industry connected to German immigrants.
- Fear of "new immigrants" from southern and eastern Europe (associated with radicalism, genetic and cultural inferiority).
- Campaign in early 1920s by CA congressmen and senators to ban Japanese "picture brides" from immigrating to the United States.
- Trial of Nicola Sacco and Bartolomeo Vanzetti, 1921, and execution, 1927: showed fear and association of immigrants with political radicalism.
- Ku Klux Klan of 1920s.
- "100 percent Americanism."

## **Question 4 (continued)**

- White supremacy (slogan: "Native, White, Protestant Supremacy"):
  - Became a force in Democratic Party politics, particularly in Midwest and small-town America.
  - Systematic terror against blacks, Jews, Catholics, foreigners.
  - Faded after Stephenson affair, Indiana, 1925.
- 1921 Immigration Act (Emergency Ouota Act):
  - Established overall maximum of 357,000 immigrants per year.
  - Quotas based on national origins: 3 percent of each country's nationals residing in U.S. in 1910.
- 1922 Married Women's Act (Cable Act): "any woman citizen who married an alien ineligible for citizenship shall cease to be a citizen of the U.S."; women could not acquire citizenship by virtue of spouse's status; rather, they had to apply on their own.
- Ozawa v. U.S. (1922): Japanese immigrants were unassimilable aliens and racially ineligible for U.S. citizenship; Supreme Court ruled that the definition of "race" was not a scientific one but instead based on the "understanding of the common man"; therefore "white persons," the phrase in the law, meant northern and western Europeans.
- U.S. v. Thind (1923): Asian Indian immigrants were unassimilable aliens and racially ineligible for U.S. citizenship.
- 1924 Johnson–Reed Immigration Act (National Origins Act) established National Origins Plan to begin in 1929:
  - Cut maximum annual immigration to 164,000 and reduced European quotas to 2 percent of each nationality in U.S. in 1890, thereby discriminating against southern and eastern Europeans.
  - Excluded "aliens ineligible for citizenship" (east and south Asia, Japan; Chinese were already excluded by laws of 1882, 1892).
  - Filipinos, Mexicans, and Canadians (western hemisphere) were exempted from the 1924 act.
  - Prevented Asian women from joining their husbands in the U.S.
  - Allowed all other foreign-born wives and children of U.S. citizens to enter as non-quota immigrants.
  - Divided balance of world into "five colored races" (black, mulatto, Chinese, Japanese, Indian).
  - Created a new category: "illegal alien."
- *Hidemitsu v. U.S.* (1925): forbade naturalization of Japanese (to maintain distinction of race and color in naturalization laws).
- U.S. Border Patrol established in 1925; made border crossing from Mexico more difficult (head taxes, visa fees, literacy tests, document checks).
- 1927 revised National Origins Act:
  - Established new quotas to begin in 1929.
  - Set annual limit of 150,000 immigrants; completely excluded Japanese.
  - European quotas were decided in proportion to "national origins" (country of birth or descent) of continental U.S. inhabitants in 1920.
  - Immigrants from western hemisphere (except "potential paupers" as defined by Labor Dept.) were excluded from quotas.

### **Question 4 (continued)**

- Mexicans subjected to discrimination:
  - In employment and residence patterns.
  - Often confined to barrios.
  - Legal restrictions in some states on employment, such as teaching, legal profession, public works projects.
  - Agribusiness interests tried to keep borders open, using racial stereotyping as their weapon (only Mexicans could perform physically demanding work "owing to their crouching and bending habits").
  - School systems were segregated.
- 1929 Anti-Filipino riots in CA resulted in 1934 legislation to eliminate immigration from the Philippines.

Circle the Section II question number you are answering on <u>this</u> page. $4A$
Mandatory Part B — Circle one Part C — Circle one $1$ 2 or 3 $4$ or 5 $1 \circ f = 4$
Americans' perceptions of immigrants remained
hostile in both the periods of the mid-1800s
have perveded American society throughout
history, but it has done so to different
extents immigrants were opposed both by law
and through de facto actions in both the
18402 - 18503 and the 19103 - 19203, but
the characteristic discrimination of Europeans
and Asigns in the earlier period was contrast
with me more radical and violent opposition
to immigrante in the later period.
Many changes for Aminican people which
included west were espansion and industricting
each giving NSE to a discrimmention against
immigrants that both mirrors and contrasts the
opposition faced in the early zoin century.
As the western fromtier opened to
settlement and people were arawn ulst
as a pesuite of the California Bold
Rush in 1848, and railroad, mining, and
agricultural excursions Asian immlopping
of American natives was clean - iaw
Sette by settlers made it harder for

Circle the Section	II question number you are	4-A	
Mandatory 1	Part B — Circle one 2 or 3	Part C — Circle one $4$ or 5	20f4

Jaz 1and Mine amo WQC immigra 10) OF toreign ong m Meanuhile, en those. moreme Marlut Revolution OCCUR as 6677 +1 faced immig nan75 influx am O Hu ni an NORTHY Western maini from both and Nrai urban In Setti Ħ n-Gr faced Th 15005 maicrownt WONSS 8 arunce Cond ) IVR in POUL 17 torco d 7M 1ac GN NC 11 Slums. One and rspons 12 +enunts culminaut rities 0 That Hr PM 0 C for the WAR ation ON NOH Vica DAN Unow-Can OP DONITICS Althoug 200 this the DPPO <del>)</del>{ NVOI 0 SITION mm 1850 5 pairs 405 and 19.1 QO 日因 dí TIN and maigrants Q1 th 1 h Ol Rues AMA BI of JY, 1 Comma In NH tru Ø NED 104 De were 000 m 500 Immigram75 hase On th (j CONSENSUS ag2, 101011 conditions de Pacto that hu a Unlil wn laregis C WOUL 4 C both VOLENCE N Ci ( GAR th G Pamo try 19109 anc 1970e opposit pring of iclin to mm Group RXG Sc Was cal,

TNO

and

10

Circle the Section	II question number you are a	answering on <u>this</u> page.	4A
Mandatory	Part B — Circle one	Part C — Circle one	
1	2 or 3	(4) or 5	3 - 4
indicial, and vio	lent means F	instiu unitu	
CIND.		ational lan	4
were passed th			U
	mands. The		Ding
Act and ather		V AND	
1924 created	a quota sy	stem that	
favored Northga	ASTAD EUROPE	pans over	
Southeastern a	nd Asign a	mes Inform	nally
opposition to	imm: grant-S	was hight	enel
through the re	Witalization	of the RU	Ulux
leian, which	Was opposed	to catholic	3
and Jews as	well of ma	cus and us	ed
Violence in the	Opposition	- The Red	
Scare following	g www also	intensitie	ej
opposition to	immlgrants	In the	
antiroplical spi	MIT OF the		l stal
Difficial conduct			1
which vicious 1	y ousted any	ion pelieve	01
to be of com	MUNIST DEIL	ofs and the	rese
	the offen bas		
	acces & Vana		and the second se
	TIM Was		o lo a
	ar oppositio.	V.	ants
		M Jane -	<u>. 18</u>
two foreign	parn Delt-P	rociumed	
anarchists wer	e erecuted	with 1/17	M

Circle the Section II question number you are answering on this page. Mandatory Part B --- Circle one Part C Circle one 4of4 or 3 1 2 QUILT. Opposition rel r GM acopt of HM 10 marar 19105 1970 Nas 4M VIOLON Whi law 2 0r an0/ The SCI 20 de J1 0 ession l WO JUM SI Ò a 0P norti SC SP N N 1 v No 20 THURN 11 Sin  $(\gamma)$ Sam Throwug Qu ら he XI 4 has D an m 020 Conned ŝ of penen Out

Circle the Section II question number you are answering on this page.

Mandatory	Part B — Circle one	Part C Circle one	4B
11	2 or 3	(4) or 5	1002

Innically enough the metting pot that is America -Deriods areath resenting immigrants Massive 0+ immiarants from Treland CORRECTION BILL to the portato 1850 Keutution aue tamin 0 the immigration of Low Dr 1848 was very similar to 19/05-19.205 Easty Europeans in the some an ations 29Willer the government towards na re Ana two periods may immiarants be Slightly di conditions and bject to horrid ule e su Doth treatmen linn anial

the new and old in migrante to Both man. orf -typically Nes uponarrival . Both ork City's lower ems nF east side Besides TOVER struggle to assimilat DOL CINTUPS an nator natioist mmicrants immiarants trom ac an an tonean ism. daily 100hors basis Hnoly ding D 12 -Nothing ton hase ration the was invitation against - 1200 Insh 6 as torced the tupe. work ot-len to worst Ofcoa to more out OF the monina east aple morens nn nhl comple existance. Sid New innigrants wany Similar the taced 0+ Insh, 10 na a Struga 125. While the Ins to the new feep them out, -16

<b>Circle the Section</b>	II question number you are answering on <u>this</u> page.		
Mandatory 1	Part B — Circle one 2 or 3	Part C — Circle one 4 or 5	202

actually faced governmental policies designed to bar them new immigrants had fapass of these throug weed them not considered out an. 1202 to to be enter they where shippe heatthe prough bach to . If they were forfundle enough to enter the country Europ often lived in the lower last shens that the Frish just of. They were given horrid jobs, worked horrid hours, arten out pay. They were easily spotted out by their accents and horrid darker skin stade and often picked upon, when the kurklux Klan in the 1920's they not shy target reenerged bu Falso Notholics and Juss who made up the majority imminiants. the Emergency Quota Act of 1934 allowed only these percent the Emergency Quota immarants in as those who were nation the the census of 1920. However, this 1000 rercentaal would @ further and pashed the Census back Soon he reduced Jess Acuver immigrants already 1890 which there were the United States. This shows further the living in nativist reaction that wished and virtually succeeded in to inmigrants closing the doors Nations Werall despite the beautiful Statue of liberty standing Harbor that welcomes immigrants New York life, the examily agents both better the DIO to America led immiarants initial entrance They foced nativist MASSIVE reaction; but from the end helped make America what it is to day

Circle the Section II question number you are answering on this page.4 CMandatoryPart B - Circle onePart C - Circle one1 of 112 or 34 or 5
Immigrants have always been dispised. Even today their is a great mistrust or even late for Hispanic immigrants heald by many Americans. In what ways have immigrants discriminated against is the 1840s through the 1850s and how does this compare to the theatment of immigrants from the 1910 through the 1920s? Botha where in smillar and senctions in
different ways.
Mary immigrants arrive to America from 1840 to 1859 were citter Inish immigrants in New York City or Chinese on the West Coast. The Inish ware looked upon as dogs and were trouted as such. They were abused by local plice and government efficieds, they worked for low wages, and they lived in slums. Chinese immighants were sure spinon addicts and used for labor on the vailroads with very little pay. Tennigrants from the oscily twentieth century were is very offen Tensish, Italian, or Eastern Europans. They were forced to go through the hovendous ordeal of Ellis Island. Then, they lived in por contributions, fid hard work in upsafe conditions, and were paged very small a mounts.
Both waves of immigrants were opposed by many Aminians. Occasionally they facet similar treatments form their discriminators. Both waves lived in glettos, worked hard for small annuality of noney, and were abused by Americans. The differences are small, but can be seen. both the immigrants from the 19th century and the immigrants from the 20th century were mistrated with vary in the prices of of cruel and minigrant publisment.

# AP<sup>®</sup> UNITED STATES HISTORY 2011 SCORING COMMENTARY

### **Question 4**

### Overview

The question asked students to compare and contrast the ways that many Americans expressed their opposition to immigrants in the 1840s–1850s with the ways they expressed their opposition to immigrants in the 1910s–1920s. The question required them to make comparisons and to connect events (in this case, nativism or opposition to immigrants) across time periods and to demonstrate a broader understanding of themes in immigration history.

#### Sample: 4A Score: 7

The essay's thesis clearly states the similar and different expressions of opposition to immigrants in the two time periods. Although there is more factual information on the 1910s and 1920s, there is a sufficient amount on the 1840s and 1850s, including the Miners Tax and the Know-Nothing Party. Discussion of opposition in both time periods shows similarities, and the mention of "more radical and violent" opposition in the later period shows contrast. This essay is well written, and the thesis is developed throughout.

#### Sample: 4B Score: 5

This essay has a partially developed thesis that compares and contrasts the ways Americans opposed immigrants in the two periods in a limited way. It discusses the sources of immigration, distinguishing between the reactions of Americans to these two groups in the early and later periods. It mentions the Know-Nothings and Irish Need Not Apply signs, as well as working conditions, although the reference to coal mining is problematic. The essay differentiates the later period in terms of legislation passed to discourage immigration, though there is some confusion about the dating of the Emergency Quota and National Origins Acts. Nor was the quota of the 1924 act based on the census of 1920. The essay is correct about the Ku Klux Klan.

### Sample: 4C Score: 3

This simplistic thesis paraphrases the question and states that opposition to immigrants in these periods was both similar and different. The essay, however, describes only the similarities and does so in a general way. There is little relevant factual information other than the nationalities of the immigrants.