AP® EUROPEAN HISTORY 2011 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

Question 3

Describe and analyze the impact of the rise of Russia on international relations in Europe in the period from 1685 to 1815.

9-8 points

- Thesis is strong and addresses both the rise of Russia and its impact on Europe.
- Organization is clear and effective.
- Essay is well balanced and discusses both the rise of Russia and its impact on Europe.
- Essay addresses all three components of the question: religion, government and daily life.
- All major assertions are supported by <u>multiple</u> pieces of relevant evidence.
- Essay demonstrates how the rise of Russia is linked to Russia's impact on the rest of Europe.
- Essay contains some insightful analysis.
- Essay may contain some errors that do not detract from the argument.

7-6 points

- Thesis addresses both the rise of Russia and its impact on Europe.
- Organization is clear and effective.
- Essay treats <u>both</u> the rise of Russia and its impact on Europe but might show some imbalance.
- Essay contains some analysis, though it tends to read more as historical narrative.
- Essay may contain minor errors.

5-4 points

- Thesis is clearly stated but uneven (e.g., addresses Russia's rise but not its impact).
- Organization may be unbalanced and ineffective.
- Discussion tends to focus on either the rise of Russia or the impact of Russia.
- Major assertions are supported by <u>at least one</u> piece of evidence.
- Essay may contain minor errors.

3-2 points

- Thesis is missing or just restates the prompt.
- Organization is unclear and ineffective.
- Essay shows serious imbalance.
- Discussion contains at least one piece of historical evidence.
- Essay may contain several errors that detract from the argument.

1-0 points

- Thesis is missing.
- Essay is poorly organized.
- Essay is off task or not responsive to the prompt.
- There is little or no supporting evidence.
- Essay contains major errors.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

Russia was draning challes op of Contoling dommence gan absolute aNStollacy

modernization brought problems burever. 18th Cental the Ottoman turks Makna Kessa a Leading making inderlying repressions that key entre to the

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.
the French marched Mrs a deserted Moscour shows that the people
neve not desperak enough for reform to side with the French and the
water come together in what is one of the biggest Victories of Bropean
Historya The South Nogelean was utterly defeated by a combination
of Russian Skirmisters who consurvously attacked the French and Withdrew,
the size of Rissia so French explies were streached and the Rissian
when Russia defeated a combined mission of soldiers from mich
of the rost of the consents
In the next 100 years Rissia would also become the loader
of conservation as the religion of the Trans to great reform led to
Rissra being the most repressive government. As Spain became
Less poner Cil in the Sweet 17th county, Passia took their place
in the balance of Power politics, but Russia was a new creation, with
almost unlimited resources and a vast population that the Teas Peter
the Great and Cathrine the Great channelled sowards the
glandication of their country. After Napoleon's deleast it was clear
that any power wonling so dominate Europe would be confronted
with conquering that the vast and powerful Rissia. Rissia Herefore a Conscibused to the trend of large and powerful Imperialistic Nations who dominated the conscient, and which in turn Sterpressed liberalism, A
Consorbised to the trend of large and powerful Imperialistic nations
who dominated the consult and which in turn Sterpressed liberalism, A
and freemarkets that would become the wend in the 20th centry.

Internatina taleting The of per: 0 d3 RussiaV be tween 1695-1815 credite 1 can clearly (atherine the an 2 Great Great þе Peter Expart (an wgely cred: 4e d FOV His policies of modernizing Tranco. Russia. modernizing "western: 2:mil Russia allowed it to duin the respect of nations. To be in with Petor Berouse por dennited Army. he was able to defeat other 5eu port Nations. Because Russia began to tespect the nations and comprise it to RUSSIAM ENDINE Peter the Great, further westernized Russian traditional Barres to cut the Berase, of the Boyars new looks, wo nations Russia a Western nution, not as one "bar baric" Peter the Great, Cuthering Greats leadorship Russia as in international As an "entightened"

	on this page as it is designated in the exam.)				
be	V	ew4	g.	ره	5 0	_	SVPOY	power nations	· p	bwpV	by 1	+hor	
nat:	uns	beca	usil_	Ø	Many	, (other	v ations	svel	1 as	Fran	co Engli	nd,
an 1	Hollo	in b	WE	.ve	feete	ρνα	Ct: 0740	(0100	1:22+:0	m,			
					'	1.							
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,												
									,				
							• .						
							:						
·													
										-			

From 1685-1815, AS Russia rose relations
m Europe Suffered.
Because Russia was getting more
industrial and powerful, countries were
threatened. After peter the coreat did
so much to reform pussia and build up
the industry and military, European nations
had to be constons of Russia. Dussia
was threating the balance of power,
so when there was a war countries
would back Russians oppenent to
ensure that Russia wouldn't win. This
was to maintain their balance of power.
This put ston on the relations
of other countries because new
alleners had to be made in order
to keep russia in Cheak, when
Jussia started to turn more communist,
+ mis also hurt of relations because
countries had to try and stop communism
from spreading, which divided them.
Throughout the growth of Russia, as
they got stronger other countries in
Europe had to be a mindfel of thum
to make sure they didn't become too

3C-2	Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.								
powerful.	This hurt some relations								
and hur	This hurt some relations								
Choose a	whether to support Russia OV -								
not, but for	whether to support Russia or -								
to keep	the balance of power in place.								
	,								
	· 								
	·								
	· .								
	·								

AP[®] EUROPEAN HISTORY 2011 SCORING COMMENTARY (Form B)

Question 3

Sample: 3A Score: 9

The essay has a strong thesis that addresses both the rise of Russia and its impact on the rest of Europe. It demonstrates a solid grasp of the chronology of Russia's consolidation and expansion. All major assertions are supported by multiple pieces of relevant evidence. The essay features numerous instances of insightful analysis, and the writing is clear and sophisticated throughout. The wealth of supporting evidence, the clear command of the chronology, and the insightful analysis earned this essay the maximum score of 9.

Sample: 3B Score: 5

The essay presents an acceptable thesis that is more than a mere restatement of the question. There is adequate discussion of the accomplishments of Peter the Great and Catherine the Great in modernizing Russia, but the essay is rather vague on the effect their policies had on European international relations and balance of power. There are mentions of Peter being "able to defeat other nations" and "obtain a sea port," as well as of Catherine's armies being "able to defeat numerous opponents" and partition "parts of Poland," but these references are not convincingly linked to changing patterns in international relations in Europe. The claim that Austrian concerns over a Russian takeover of all of Poland prevented Austria from defeating the French revolutionary armies early on is erroneous, although the subsequent discussion of Russia's role in defeating Napoleon is acceptable. The essay did not earn a score of 6 because of its limited analysis of Russia's impact on patterns of international diplomacy; on the other hand, it earned a score higher than 4 because of the relatively high level of detail in the discussion of the rise of Russia under Peter and Catherine.

Sample: 3A Score: 1

The essay opens with a factually incorrect attempt at a thesis. The overall organization is poor, and much of the discussion is off task. There are numerous factual errors, unsubstantiated general claims and irrelevant information. The mention of communism in Russia indicates a very poor grasp of the chronology. The essay earned a score of 1 on the strength of the single correct piece of evidence presented (concerning Peter the Great and his modernization of Russia).