## AP® COMPARATIVE GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2010 SCORING GUIDELINES

### Question 3

### 3 Points

**One point** is earned for an explanation of <u>each</u> of three changes made during Putin's presidency that led to Russia's political system becoming more authoritarian. Answers must include how the change has led to the system becoming more authoritarian.

Acceptable changes include any of the following:

- presidential appointment of regional governors instead of direct election
- creation of seven federal districts with appointment of super governor or presidential envoys
- switch to higher parliamentary threshold
- change to selecting half of Federation Council by presidential appointment
- creation of a dominant party (United Russia)
- cult of personality
- increased state control of media (TV stations/national newspapers not Internet or radio)
- undiminished power for Putin upon becoming prime minister
- arrests and convictions of opposition candidates and economically powerful individuals on limited evidence
- restrictions on the formation of parties and party registration
- restrictions on nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) (2006 NGO Registration law)
- restrictions on rights of assembly for antigovernment protests
- enforcement of tax on opposition and other measures

The response must explain the link between the change and the system becoming more authoritarian.

Examples of the link may include:

- concentration of power
- limits on opposition
- reduction of civil rights
- limits on media
- limits on parties
- limits on electoral competition
- diminished civil society
- a less independent judiciary

Note: The lists above are not exhaustive

A score of 0 is earned for an attempted answer that merits no points.

A score of dash (-) is earned for a blank or off-task answer.

# Write in the box the number of the question you are answ on this page as it is designated in the exam.

3A

3. One change made by Wordingir Poten that made Russia more
outhoritainan is the restriction of the freedom of Press. Under
Putin, media was tightly controlled and persecuted. State-amed
— Putin, media was tightly controlled and fersewhed. State-amed media was the only thing that was prevalent. A second Unique
make by Pithn is the found tron of decoy pointes, or pourtes
purposerully placed into the elections by the leading party in
the government to make them seem legitimate. As authoritationism
is a fam of government that lacks free and fair elections as
well as civil rights and liberties, 10 Patin's charges have in four
male Russia more authoritation. A last change made by Putin
Involves opiession of civil society, or aduntary participation  or groups in the political process. By locarning any non-government or  approved groups. Putch has curber civil society and made  Russia's political system more authoritation
A rentier state is a country with of that has foreign authorized by usage of its resources. An example of this would
De Nigeria - A problèm with such stoles is économic dependency
where doveloping countries often large advantage of lesavices whose people in developing rentier States, whose people if the Share of the profits
The state of the s

on this page as it is designated in the exam.	3C
Potin's presidency shifted the Russi	ian political
system and it became more authoritar	vian through
his control of the media, ignovance of the	•
unfair elections. Putin restricted the me	
and did not allow for the freedom to a	
stifling a democratic freedom. During h	
2000-2008, Putin also ignored much of	
opinioni and decisioni, planting his our	
respect for meir power. The election, that	
also seemed to be controlled by the Or	
party which supported furni and many	
Candidates vote in political power.	
<b>,</b>	

### AP® COMPARATIVE GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2010 SCORING COMMENTARY

### Question 3

#### Overview

The intent of the question was for students to demonstrate their understanding of three specific changes in Russia during the Putin presidency that constitute evidence that the Russian political system became more authoritarian. The question required students to demonstrate knowledge of more recent events in Russia and apply that knowledge to the concept of an authoritarian system.

Sample: 3A Score: 3

The response earned 3 points for explaining three changes made during Putin's presidency that resulted in a more authoritarian political system. One point was earned for explaining that "[u]nder Putin, media was tightly controlled and persecuted." The response links this change to Russia becoming more authoritarian through "the restriction of the freedom of Press."

One point was earned for identifying another change as the formation "of decoy parties, or parties purposefully placed into the elections by the leading party in the government." The response links this change to Russia becoming more authoritarian as it "lacks free and fair elections."

A third point was earned for noting the "opression [sic] of ... voluntary participation of influential groups in the political process." The response explains that "[b]y banning any non-government approved groups, Putin has curbed civil society and made Russia's political system more authoritarian."

Sample: 3B Score: 2

The response earned 2 points for explaining two changes made during Putin's presidency that resulted in a more authoritarian political system. One point was earned for the change identified as "limiting media outputs." The response links this change to Russia becoming more authoritarian as it "reduced the freedom of speech and [Putin] was able to control what people heard and saw."

The second point was earned for noting Putin's "imprisoning ... his enemies" and linking this change to Russia becoming more authoritarian as Putin "sent a message that nobody should openly oppose him."

The response did not earn the third point for explaining another change made during Putin's presidency that demonstrates that Russia was becoming more authoritarian.

Sample: 3C Score: 1

The response earned 1 point for explaining a change made during Putin's presidency as "Putin restricted the media's abilities." The response links this change to Russia becoming more authoritarian as it "did not allow for the freedom to oppose in media, stifling a democratic freedom."

The response did not earn any points for stating that Putin "ignored much of the Duma's opinions and decisions" or for noting that the "elections ... seemed to be controlled by the United Russia party."