### 2009 SCORING GUIDELINES

#### Presentational Writing: Compare and Contrast Article

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK COMPLETION</th>
<th>DELIVERY</th>
<th>LANGUAGE USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 EXCELLENT</strong> Demonstrates excellence in presentational writing</td>
<td>Article addresses all aspects of prompt with thoroughness and detail, including expression of preference and reasoning. Well organized and coherent, with a clear progression of ideas; use of appropriate transitional elements and cohesive devices.</td>
<td>Natural, easily flowing expression. Orthography and mechanics virtually error free. Virtually no mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list. Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 VERY GOOD</strong> Suggests emerging excellence in presentational writing</td>
<td>Article addresses all aspects of prompt, including expression of preference and reasoning. Well organized and coherent, with a progression of ideas that is generally clear; some use of transitional elements and cohesive devices may be inconsistent.</td>
<td>Generally exhibits ease of expression. Infrequent or insignificant errors in orthography and mechanics. Occasional mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list. Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation except for occasional lapses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4 GOOD</strong> Demonstrates competence in presentational writing</td>
<td>Article addresses all aspects of prompt, including expression of preference and reasoning, but may lack detail or elaboration. Generally organized and coherent; use of transitional elements and cohesive devices may be inconsistent.</td>
<td>Strained or unnatural flow of expression does not interfere with comprehensibility. Errors in orthography and mechanics do not interfere with readability. May include several mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list. May include several lapses in otherwise consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 ADEQUATE</strong> Suggests emerging competence in presentational writing</td>
<td>Article addresses topic directly but may not address all aspects of prompt. Portions may lack organization or coherence; infrequent use of transitional elements and cohesive devices.</td>
<td>Strained or unnatural flow of expression sometimes interferes with comprehensibility. Errors in orthography and mechanics may be frequent or interfere with readability. May include frequent mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list. Use of register and style appropriate to situation is inconsistent or includes many errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 WEAK</strong> Suggests lack of competence in presentational writing</td>
<td>Article addresses topic only marginally or addresses only some aspects of prompt. Scattered information generally lacks organization and coherence; minimal or no use of transitional elements and cohesive devices.</td>
<td>Labored expression frequently interferes with comprehensibility. Errors in orthography and mechanics frequently or interfere with readability. Frequent mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list. Frequent use of register and style inappropriate to situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 VERY WEAK</strong> Demonstrates lack of competence in presentational writing</td>
<td>Article addresses prompt only minimally. Lacks organization and coherence.</td>
<td>Labored expression constantly interferes with comprehensibility. Errors in orthography and mechanics very frequent or significantly interfere with readability. Minimal use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list. Constant use of register and style inappropriate to situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 UNACCEPTABLE</strong> Contains nothing that earns credit</td>
<td>Mere restatement of the prompt. Clearly does not respond to the prompt; completely irrelevant to the topic. Not in Japanese. Blank.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
私は自分の家で映画を見るのも、映画館で映画を見る事もよくします。でも僕は映画館で映画を見るほうがいいと思います。映画館で映画を見る人はたくさんいるので僕と同じ強い意見がある人もたくさんいると思います。

最初に、映画館で映画を見ると映画の中にいる感じがします。音が回りから出てくるし、画面がすごく大きいので見ているだけではなく、入っている感じがあります。映画を映画館で見るのはアドベンチャーです。

次に、映画館には人がたくさんいるので、面白いです。たとえば、面白いところがあったら、映画館の中のぜんいんが笑います。こうなると自分も笑ってしまいます。映画がもっと楽しく見られます。

最後に、映画館では画面が家のテレビよりお起きです。ぼくのテレビは小さいので映画を見るときあまり面白くありません。でも映画館で見るというのは様々なものが見て面白いです。

以上のことでもも著者の家で映画を見るより映画館で映画を見るほうがいいと思います。映画館で映画を見るとき映画の中には入っている気がするし、人がたくさんいるし、画面も大きいです。面白いい映画を見たいと映画館に行くのが一番です。
Compare and Contrast Article

Sample: B

これから、映画館で映画を見るのと、家で映画を見るのをくらべてみます。映画館で見ると家で見るのと違うところも同じところもある。

一つ目の違うところは、映画館で映画をみると、お金がたくさんかかる。しかし、家で見ると、お金はかわなくてもいい。二つ目の違うところは、映画館で映画をみるつもりなら、映画館までに行かなくてよくちゃ。家でみると、どこでも行かなくてもいい。三つ目の違うところは、人たくさん映画館に行くから、映画館のいすは家のいすより汚いです。

しかし、一つ目の同じところは、映画館にいても、家にいても、友達と映画を見ることできる。

僕は、映画館で映画を見るより家で見るほうがいい。映画館は汚くて高い。だけど、家で映画を見ると、きれいで安い。
映画かんにいってはたんのしい、でも、ぼくの家に映画をみってがすきです。映画かんはたかい。でも、映画かんの映画はあたらしいです。家の映画は古いから、古い映画はいいです。家の映画をパーズとリーワインドをします。むずかしいじゃない！映画かんもきれいじゃない。あなたのいつでガムは下にありましょう。いいたべものにあなたの内があります。やすいとおいしい、家のたべものはいいですね。映画かんのたべものはグリシとわるいです。映画かんはいいです。でも、それからぼくの家に映画をみてがすきです。
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Presentational Writing: Compare and Contrast Article

Note: Students’ responses are quoted verbatim and may contain grammatical errors.

Overview

This task assesses writing in the presentational communicative mode by having students write an article for the student newspaper of a school in Japan. It comprises a single prompt, which identifies two related topics and details how they should be discussed in the article. Students are given 20 minutes to write an article of 300–400 characters or longer. The response receives a single holistic score based on how well it accomplishes the assigned task.

In 2009 students were required to compare and contrast watching a movie at home and watching a movie in a movie theater. They were asked to describe at least three aspects of each and to highlight the similarities and differences. They were also asked to state their preference and give reasons for it.

Sample: A
Score: 5

This response addresses all aspects of the prompt, including expression of preference and reasoning. This well-organized and coherent article contains a clear progression of ideas and uses appropriate transitional elements and cohesive devices (最初に、次に、最後に、and 以上のことで). The student exhibits ease of expression and good flow of language, with consistent use of register and style appropriate to the situation (except that the clause 入っている感じがします is missing a subject, which might be 映画の中に or 話しの中に). アドベンチャーです is unnatural and might better be replaced with a phrase such as 面白いです or 楽しいです. A variety of vocabulary and idioms, as well as grammatical and syntactic structures, is used, with sporadic errors (e.g., 映画を見るのも, 僕と同じ強い意 見がある人も, and いろんなもの). With the exception of ほう, ぜんいん, お起きです, and えいが, there are no mistakes in the use of kanji from the AP kanji list. This response suggests emerging excellence in presentational writing.

Sample: B
Score: 4

This response demonstrates competence in presentational writing. The article addresses all aspects of the prompt, including expression of preference and reasoning, but it lacks elaboration. The flow of expression is mostly natural, and the unnatural elements, such as お金はらわなくてもいい, どこでも行かなくてもいい, and 人たくさん, do not interfere with comprehensibility. There are several lapses in otherwise consistent use of register and style appropriate to the situation; although this response is otherwise written in plain form, the phrases くらべてみます and 汚いです also appear. There are several mistakes in use of kanji, for example, みるつもり should be 見るつもり and 見るほうがいい should be 見る方がいい. Use of vocabulary and idioms is appropriate but limited. If the response had included more elaboration and detail and exhibited stronger and more consistent control of grammar and orthography, it could have earned a higher score.
Presentational Writing: Compare and Contrast Article (continued)

Sample: C
Score: 2

This response addresses the prompt marginally and lacks organization and coherence. Labored expression constantly interferes with comprehensibility (e.g., in 映画かんにいったたんのしい、映画をみてがすきです、あなたのいつでガムは下にあります、and いいたべものにあるあなたの内があります). Errors in katakana use (パーズ、リーウインド、グリシ), as well as insufficient control of vocabulary and idioms (e.g., たんのしい、やすいとおいしい、家のたべものはいいですが), significantly interfere with readability. Production of kanji on the AP Japanese kanji list is lacking: たんのしい、すきです、たかい、あたらしいです、むずかしい、たべもの、やすい、わるいです、and すきです should all be written in kanji. Limited control of grammatical and syntactic structures, as in むずかしいじゃない！and やすいとおいしい, results in very fragmented language and interferes with comprehensibility. Addressing the prompt more thoroughly and in a more organized fashion would help this response earn a higher score. A better control of orthography would make the response more readable.