# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

### **Question 3**

The score should reflect a judgment of the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write; the essay, therefore, is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the essay as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those scored 8 or 9, may contain occasional lapses in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into the holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case may an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics be scored higher than a 2.

**9** Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for a score of 8 and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, thorough in their development, or particularly impressive in their control of language.

#### 8 Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** defend, challenge, or qualify Ehrenreich's assertions about television. The evidence and explanations used are appropriate and convincing. The prose demonstrates a consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

**7** Essays earning a score of 7 meet the criteria for a score of 6 but provide a more complete explanation, more thorough development, or a more mature prose style.

#### 6 Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** defend, challenge, or qualify Ehrenreich's assertions about television. The evidence and explanations used are appropriate and sufficient. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 Essays earning a score of 5 defend, challenge, or qualify Ehrenreich's assertions about television. The evidence or explanations used may be uneven, inconsistent, or limited. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the student's ideas.

#### 4 Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** defend, challenge, or qualify Ehrenreich's assertions about television. The evidence or explanations used may be inappropriate, insufficient, or less convincing. The prose generally conveys the student's ideas but may be less consistent in controlling the elements of effective writing.

**3** Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for a score of 4 but demonstrate less success in defending, challenging, or qualifying Ehrenreich's assertions about television. The essays may show less maturity in control of writing.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING GUIDELINES (Form B)

## **Question 3 (continued)**

#### 2 Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in defending, challenging, or qualifying Ehrenreich's assertions about television. These essays may misunderstand the prompt or substitute a simpler task by responding to the prompt tangentially with unrelated, inaccurate, or inappropriate explanation. The prose often demonstrates consistent weaknesses in writing, such as grammatical problems, a lack of development or organization, or a lack of control.

- **1** Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for a score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their explanation and argument, or weak in their control of language.
- **0** Indicates an on-topic response that receives no credit, such as one that merely repeats the prompt.
- Indicates a blank response or one that is completely off topic.

3A (1of 2)

time that anvone claimed that ast the When 15 in deneral When has life? VisionVever television represents real tele tamiliar with one's more been touted QS become a war to race of life? rat Were nosition the 5 in OWD 0 media pondebob would spend his rve the DURDOSE Phil Vr. would interview normal, ansuits insurance tinhting Jones middle-aned Indiana would spend and couch-potatoes threnreich potterr tranments dars catalogving his "eeric and ne black box the world inside to call right unnatural: onvthin be was never intended if TO tor e levision news programs sare documentanies and has been a method Ke ordinary alwars Whi ch the escape the dar-to dar routine. a hiatus 10 neople thrilling tingncial 500 WORT and concerns, Q Or even a chance to triands, hildrious experience shared with a movie with one another. together and + en jor pring ne OMI the tradition of upholds tamil a weekly own ami Sunday dather in the ver evening We movie night. enjoys Emperor's room with food, and each other, and livina Drason, beorge and the Qľ Grogve New in ea relishing for the chance to company, grateful eachother's have our in to ourselves, happy parents participate 6 S tom QII TO G ritud television has provided addition, a vital briefings service to not through news humanity. and iUST

1 ( 7 £ 2 )

weather alerts, vital these Q5 O15 mar be. 'n and North country of orea Communist starring overnment to consider the themselves citizens told DV brainwashed to revere 100 na tortunate, almost a deity, have but one broadcasted tigvre, 11 one beacon of outh reterence, sanity: ot noint Because of these soap operas, operas orean Saa maintain North torced Noreans. ADD 0 oppressed man when watching the programs tor tear secreq absolute punishment subsequent discovering are discovery and ot been lied to, and realizing Decreved have that be better. really Cap that things as well as most 15 best taken vision. moderation, and too much of it may turn on intelligent ŇΩ a slavering the couch potator. le spite this individual inta that television has had a done more good than believe the potential for both. the it has tn bad, though end. the effect that television has on society is determined choose what ANA T the viewer. T expose myself to, what think. what L believe watch, what thus ond

Question 3

not annone else, chose whether television is something "eerie and unnatural," or a harmless diversion,

Whr WC keep on Watching do Recause it 1S to make and DUr choice OUR decision to be influenced whether or not what we SEE br

> © 2009 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com.

3B (1of 2)

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering -Question 3 on this page as it is designated in the exam. Barbara Ehrenneich passage in her story, The Worst Jears Our Lives, the assertion 07 that couch potatoes not do anything except watch dø television necessarily true Not only does watching is not it also can be educational for children the pass Ame, adults alike, l'eople consider that it rots the mind. but does anyone child suffering due to watching hear about television, would be a no. lelevision is dangerous car chases or smoking always about cigare television channels provide Fox News & Discovery Channel inform their audience rather than Which as well. showing senseless violence ery morning, adults wake up Ł turn 1 straight to the news channe the gong On from learning more [V is higher than poss; bil Ot there are educational channel AP be cause Know like the news, out there IV is another E adults to be active because children romote TV is outof the range not even, thing scen on For example, human capabilities. of said that it's always good (ommercial 10 twice a day for at least least one mile the commercial might have convinced Some then Oq, ha bite IV is voewers 1099ing as 00 ke up a

3B (2of2)

to reach out to viewers. a way for people characters Ehrenreich contrast Ln 40 assertion, sometimes Show Ē On a the much way real People C ac hich Ou e W 1 amily Guy; crude aptening. 11 sha more tri a. reflection on amos Lee a rea erson's is a. the animation makes less noticeable be havior though would rax en;oy Ronale wate d erens laris her ma than felevision having rea scenarios On actually because in port may rayed the rom dong events as the actually revents por on l /. Ln conclu :on ones aypa mistakes or doma nating Jangerous trom Viewers characters do. the actions that many ot

3C (1of 2)\_

Television has never played a significant role in my life. Whether it was because I was too busy or too athletic, or both, I have never been susceptible to a television's mind-controlling charms. Due to this, it would be inadequate to concur with Ehrenneich's statement that "something eerie and unatural become about the world" comes into existence after too many hours of television watching. On the other hand, I can understand the writer's accusation that To V. has "transformed people into root vegetable": my father complains about how I need to eat healthier and exercise more when, the monically, he is the one sitting on the couch with three bags of different flavoured chips watching the football game. In lines 13 to 17, Ehrenreich says that "you will never see people watching television." Hople only state, transfixed, glued to the screen the inch flat-screen EV, half & there, half not. - It's is disturbing. "Never really watching, hour after heur, the way real people do: " Any more than an hour and a half of TV pr day is approaching a danger zone. Keal have the lives. "Real people" get out of people" the house and socialize and have fun and work and "Real people" do not sit around experience lives.

3C(2 + 2)Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 3 on this page as it is designated in the exam. all day "watching" (if you can call it watching) other people's leves. All that television has instilled in people is an under-laying laziness that gets the better of -most mary people. So why do we keep watching?

© 2009 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com.

# AP<sup>®</sup> ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2009 SCORING COMMENTARY (Form B)

### **Question 3**

#### Sample: 3A Score: 8

This effective essay refutes Barbara Ehrenreich's claim that the world television represents is "eerie and unnatural" by arguing that "it was never intended to be anything else," using convincing and clever hypothetical examples of Spongebob, Dr. Phil, and Indiana Jones performing mundane, everyday tasks. The student further argues that not only is television a much-needed "method by which ordinary people escape the day-to-day routine," it is also an opportunity for families to spend time together, supporting this argument with the appropriate and well-developed personal example of a family movie night. The example that follows—watching South Korean soap operas as a means of subverting the propaganda fed to "brainwashed" North Koreans—shows the student's ability to draw from current events. However, while the North Korean example is an appropriate and convincing one, the student's development of it could have been clearer. The student's prose demonstrates a consistent facility with the English language, with sophisticated and original phrasing such as "a hiatus in the long slog of work and financial concerns." The essay ends more weakly than it begins, but its argument is compelling, coherent, and effective throughout, which earned it a score of 8.

#### Sample: 3B Score: 5

Attempting to qualify Barbara Ehrenreich's claim about television watchers becoming "root vegetables," this uneven essay argues for the educational value of television and supports the claim with some examples ("Fox News & Discovery Channel," television commercials, and "Family Guy"). However, the argument is limited in its development because the evidence provided is uneven and limited in its support of the student's assertions about the positive value of television ("TV prevents viewers from making mistakes or doing dangerous actions that many of the TV characters do"). This lack of sufficient evidence and explanation, in addition to occasional lapses in diction and syntax ("People consider TV to be unhealthy & that it rots the mind, but does anyone hear about a child suffering due to watching television, that would be a no"), prevented the essay from being considered adequate.

### Sample: 3C Score: 2

This essay meets with little success in developing an argument. While the student does mention Barbara Ehrenreich and attempts to present an argument in the second and third paragraphs, that argument is never clear or evenly supported. The essay presents two personal examples; the first—dealing with the lack of effect television has on the student—is unrelated to the writing task. The second—the student's father sitting on the couch watching the football game—is relevant to Ehrenreich's argument, but the essay does not develop it in any way as evidence for an argument. This failure to develop the examples used, along with the overall lack of organization the essay demonstrates, limited it to a score of 2.