## AP® WORLD HISTORY 2006 SCORING GUIDELINES

### **Question 3—Comparative**

### **BASIC CORE** (competence)

0-7 points

(Historical skills and knowledge required to show competence.)

### 1. Has acceptable thesis.

1 point

- The thesis cannot be split and must be located in either the introductory paragraph or the conclusion. It cannot simply repeat the question.
- The thesis must address BOTH countries, as well as BOTH a similarity and a difference, and must address either goals or outcomes.

The thesis statement cannot be counted for credit in any other category.

# 2. Addresses all parts of the question, though not necessarily evenly or thoroughly.

2 points

### For 2 points:

Essays must address:

- two countries
- both similarity AND difference
- at least one goal AND at least one outcome

### For 1 point:

Essays must address:

- two countries
- either similarity OR difference
- either one goal OR one outcome

### 3. Substantiates thesis with appropriate historical evidence.

2 points

Essays must include at least one accurate piece of evidence for each country.

### For 2 points:

• Essays should include a minimum of FIVE accurate pieces of evidence related to goals and/or outcomes.

#### For 1 point:

• Essays should include a minimum of THREE accurate pieces of evidence related to goals and/or outcomes.

### 4. Makes at least one relevant, direct comparison between the two countries. 1 point

- The comparison/contrast between countries must be explicit and relevant to revolutionary goals or outcomes. [It is not sufficient to make statements that would be obvious from the question (e.g., "Both Mexico and Russia wanted political change in the 1910's.").]
- Mere parallel construction is not enough to earn this point.
- The direct comparison must be distinct from the thesis statement.

# 5. Analyzes at least one reason for a similarity or difference identified 1 point in a direct comparison.

In regard to goals or outcomes, students must explain why a similarity or a
difference occurs, or why a similarity or a difference is significant. [Analysis
of goals or outcomes within one country is not sufficient for this point.]

**Note 1:** For thesis, direct comparison, and comparative analysis points, the entire statement must be accurate. For evidence points, an inaccurate phrase can be ignored within an otherwise accurate sentence.

**Note 2:** All categories *but* the thesis can double count. For example, a direct comparison might yield two or more evidence points.

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved.

Visit apcentral.collegeboard.com (for AP professionals) and www.collegeboard.com/apstudents (for students and parents).

## AP® WORLD HISTORY 2006 SCORING GUIDELINES

### **Question 3—Comparative (continued)**

### **EXPANDED CORE** (excellence)

0-2 points

(Historical skills and knowledge required to show excellence.)

The basic core score of 7 must be achieved before a student can earn expanded core points.

### Examples:

- Has a clear, analytical, and comprehensive thesis; e.g., assesses goals and outcomes effectively.
- Addresses all parts of the question with depth and balance:
  - o Comparisons
  - o Connections
  - o Chronology
  - o Themes
  - o Interactions
  - o Outcomes and goals
- Provides ample historical evidence to substantiate the thesis.
- Relates comparisons to the larger global context.
- Makes several direct comparisons consistently between countries.
- Consistently analyzes causes and effects of relevant similarities and differences.

government. In comporison, Russian revolutionaries wonted to create an. equal and functioning communist government modeled off. the

Part C

 $38\,$  log 2. Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the examination.

| The revolutionry processes in chimin 1911, an                     |
|---|
| Ressie in 1917 were very different in that they the               |
| Russias medel a communist soft for the the Chilese we de          |
| is dependence from Impirials and a Nationalist governety but      |
| both relied on the people's support to succeeds                   |
| IN 1917 the Russian Revolution byen. It differed                  |
| from the chives because it had a single feetive folding           |
| the governed with one wisting leave, Vladinio Levin The           |
| Russian Revolution was also much shorter lasting only             |
| a few years while the chinese revolution legited decides.         |
| The resons for hith revolutions were also different. The Russians |
| wer fishby for a communist governet to get them out               |
| of WWI are to give equality to the people The                     |
| chinese as on other head fushof for annuism in one                |
| Fretzer and assissed it in the other. The Russia Revolution       |
| over threw the Brovisial governet that had defer our afor         |
| he restantion of crear Nickell's II. The provision of her         |
| continued the Russin Involvent in WWI with was                    |
| anjoipuler. The Boldheviks under Lovin goired support for         |
| their commist ideals and ownted the Provisioners. There           |
| the Rul way wer Lain defeated the White Growing under             |
| the providing and assumed complete control. The Communists        |
| med Russh with a inv for.   |
| In the Chinese Revolution of 1911 & Frestions Sought.             |
| for condul of the Condry. They with tought the ruler              |
|   |

| on this page as it is designated in the examination.  |
|---|
| of the centry but also then selve The notionalists  |
| of the country but also then seeing the notionalists will Ching Kir Jak Forgat for Communists |
| essences, The pretional into war control of the contry  |
| for the short term in the 1920's, but the communists  |
| fled on the boy March and him not destroyed.  |
| Years that fater the commission now under mon   |
| Zedny exploited the notion lists week nos after WWI.  |
| Ching Kai Shek defended china but with heary losse  |
| and his government are corrupt and week. The community  |
| quickly gained support with the Chinese pourt or  |
| drive the Nedson (1885 of Formosa, now called Thinan  |
| The notionalists former, square severent and were   |
| pretected by the Americas. The Communists when  |
| Mon controlled for nointend.  |
| Both the Chinese and Russin- Revolutions installe   |
| conmunist leaders but the Russian Light any a few   |
| geors while the Chinese Cested decedes, Both  |
| revolutions used viblace to achein their sine ad  |
| Sought upopular governed with the support of the people                                       |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

| To a serious Market Market I have a find the series of the  |
|---|
| The countries that had be relations during the  |
| early 1900's were China and Russia, Both of   |
| there were in an effort to become the   |
| industrial and social equal of westerners.  |
| In Russia during and twood the end of   |
| world nar I the people nanted and needed  |
| change. The Russian government of fixed the   |
| people a degree of knowledge after the 1005   |
| of the crimean nav and because of their   |
| new knowledge Bussians began to que otion   |
| their government and demand civil liberties,  |
| Some Russians neve still bound to the   |
| land and the oppressive Toars allowed   |
| the common people no say in the government.   |
| Tu the Great now, many Russians boot  |
| Thorn live for their country making one with  |
| the Tives for their searing that our specific   |
| their lives for their country that was oppressive toward them. The people vanted equality and   |
| toward Them, he people vanted equality and  |
| toward Them, he people vanted equality and  |
| toward Them, he people vanted equality and  |
| toward Them, he people vanted equality and  |
| since the Tsavs nevent going to give it to them They took it and appointed another political party and when they couldn't do their job they appointed Lenin and the communist   |
| Toward Them. The people vanted equality and since the Tsars newent going to give it to them they took it and appointed another political party and when they couldn't do their job they appointed Lenin and the communist |
| since the Tsavs versent going to give it to them They took it and appointed another political party and when they couldn't do their job they appointed Lenin and the communist  |

## AP® World History 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY

### **Question 3—Comparative**

#### Overview

This question asked students to do six things: address revolution in two countries; explain similarities and differences (compare and contrast) between their revolutionary processes; and focus on the goals and outcomes of those revolutions. The question asked about *goals and outcomes*, rather than causes of revolution, and this emphasis ran throughout all the points on the scoring guidelines.

The intent was to allow students to compare revolutionary *process* as regards goals and outcomes. This meant that students could write to their strengths by comparing selected points in each country's history after the dates specified. They did not have to know the entire chronology to achieve a high score, although thorough chronological coverage was a reason to give expanded core points.

To achieve any points for evidence, students needed to include specific and accurate historical evidence for *both* countries, although the pieces of evidence did not have to be explicitly comparative. Direct comparative statements, however, had to link both countries explicitly, rather than relying on the Reader to interpret parallel essay structure. Likewise, the comparative analysis had to link both countries in a statement (not necessarily a single sentence) explaining a difference or similarity in revolutionary goals or outcomes.

Sample: 3A Score: 9

The thesis is found at the end of the essay, starting with "These revolutions are very similar . . ." (1 point). The attempt in the first paragraph does not count because it does not identify where a difference lies. The essay also fulfills all of the other basic core points: addressing all parts of the question (2 points); providing appropriate historical evidence for Russia and China (2 points); making at least one direct comparison (1 point); and analyzing a reason for a similarity or a difference (1 point). The essay earned expanded core points for richness of evidence, multiple direct comparisons, and sophisticated analysis (2 points).

Sample: 3B Score: 6

The thesis is in the first paragraph (1 point). The essay addresses all parts of the question in its discussion of similarity and difference between China and Russia and the goal and outcome in each country (2 points). It includes evidence for both countries related to the thesis (2 points) and makes a relevant, direct comparison (1 point). The essay lacks comparative analysis, however. It is strong on evidence but does not take the extra step to link that evidence to an analysis of difference or similarity between the two revolutions.

Sample: 3C Score: 3

This essay lacks a thesis. While the response discusses a similarity (1 point), it does not discuss a difference. It contains just enough evidence related to revolutionary goals and outcomes to earn 1 point for evidence. The pieces of evidence for Russia are: "Russians began to question their government and demand civil liberties" and "The people wanted equality and since the Tsars weren't going to give it to them they took it." The claim that the people appointed Lenin is incorrect. The only relevant pieces of

## AP® World History 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY

## **Question 3—Comparative (continued)**

evidence for China are that it became communist and the Chinese people "disgarded an oppresive [sic] way of life in hopes of equality." The essay makes one direct, relevant comparison—the similar outcome of a communist regime in both countries (1 point). There is no comparative analysis of the similarity.