AP[®] ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2006 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 1

(Robert Penn Warren's "Evening Hawk")

The score reflects the quality of the essay as a whole—its content, its style, its mechanics. Students are rewarded for what they do well. The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a persuasive analysis of the language the poet uses to describe the scene and to convey mood and meaning. Although these essays offer a range of interpretations and choose to emphasize different poetic techniques, these papers provide convincing readings of the poem and demonstrate consistent and effective control over the elements of composition in language appropriate to the analysis of poetry. Their textual references are apt and specific. Though they may not be error-free, these essays are perceptive in their analysis and demonstrate writing that is clear and sophisticated, and in the case of a 9 essay, especially persuasive.
- 7-6 These competent essays offer a reasonable analysis of the language the poet uses to describe the scene and to convey mood and meaning. They are less thorough or less precise in their discussion of the themes and techniques, and their analysis of the relationship between the language and the themes is less convincing. These essays demonstrate the student's ability to express ideas clearly with references to the text, although they do not exhibit the same level of effective writing as the 9–8 papers. While essays scored 7–6 are generally well written, those scored a 7 demonstrate more sophistication in both substance and style.
- 5 These essays may respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading of the poem, but they may be superficial in analysis of theme and technique. They often rely on paraphrase but paraphrase that contains some analysis, implicit or explicit. Their analysis of the language of the poem may be vague, formulaic, or inadequately supported by references to the text. There may be minor misinterpretations. These students demonstrate control of language, but the writing may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as 7–6 essays.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the language of the poem. The analysis may be partial, unconvincing, or irrelevant. Evidence from the poem may be slight or misconstrued, or the essays may rely on paraphrase only. The writing often demonstrates a lack of control over the conventions of composition: inadequate development of ideas, accumulation of errors, or a focus that is unclear, inconsistent, or repetitive. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreadings and/or demonstrate inept writing.
- 2-1 These essays compound the weaknesses of the papers in the 4–3 range. Although some attempt has been made to respond to the prompt, the student's assertions are presented with little clarity, organization, or support from the poem. They may contain serious errors in grammar and mechanics. These essays may offer a complete misreading or be unacceptably brief. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the poem.
- **0** These essays give a response with no more than a reference to the task.
- These essays are either left blank or are completely off topic.

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved.

due nuslead in their foolings are Humans 0050 world them. Robert diat Surrou 10 enont " Evening Warnen's Hawk guistions the Penn poum Relan luman immortality and instea esents severe judge nature that <u>ídia</u> the Q diction by the narrator wad mistalies conse The foreboding <u>2000</u> CORE 2 ma Canh 2 3 their belief rllee lunans Control Time ia imagen aul earth The 01 as Time and genert 0 _power Master Jud œ under lines fragility mankind _04 language The mood namator's drive ma starh Jegin Storte meanin The poem Ø 0 wer uption much notion fure ര <u>d</u> <u>d</u> e Shawh The appears lavily inease pealis an "aut 8 0 language <u>ada</u> such <u>_</u> lines world mathematical that the is no er. <u>(2000</u> There or lotty discriptions of evening <u>(></u> romance. planes" builds light Shines in and gennetre Tre "tunaltious analis 7 13 not plar 0 6 µ(s ພະກ Sec. rechoned كآ with 940 cuts violently Time Cours meaning it gentle bird not his win G ч, a Time." viciou shy steel harvests evident Human an

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved.



our error." The stalks £ Time harvest <u>84</u> the ď ladure "each stalk was sold is rear 00 auk contrals Time and humans error. Unit a wealth Contributed nothing 0 emors. Majestiz with the last ran Jord _af bird Jeaves nt leaver "Under given ก fle is not anih COMPASSigneste Inowerdge. "Time al Mas emor " 2 Savior \sim the with trivialition thus does not deal ð loging a is left in darknet The earth Saving Chumans Nature is superior to the into SWIN. S pe po X "the bat Enc wise inh 80 ancient an \$ Humans cannot longrag the notation fre earth it "grinds on lows line thus its d \mathfrak{M} 11 unabated "History efforts conquering the coord. darliness drips in in the collar" sw indicates the <u> Piæ</u> namato que S history DUNTACION ST BOOK humans actions يعل Inep Nealected but to nothing else in a dank, Cano Darg mood of the basemen heavy with poem is 8 words numan The narrator's use deficiency. Ot such ar "Un o raives a neam do setting "De NEMT 1000in sad Sene. ĩs 61 0 "surthes down" <u>und</u> line the Hawh Carton in ation 10 only α mounts ᠫᠣᢕ basement ppe The darh narrators con

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit apcentral.collegeboard.com (for AP professionals) and www.collegeboard.com/apstudents (for students and parents).

foreboding for the future of humans scene lankind's not exalted instead the narrator ctions are as the **a** hawk Aus nover × Co ortz nature And ক Humanity nothing 6.7 Time modu res "emor earry with poem suggests a grim notion that **ک**د control Ne'r J ires and are ADT 000 a unbaised *steel-edged ٩. bird -

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved. Visit apcentral.collegeboard.com (for AP professionals) and www.collegeboard.com/apstudents (for students and parents).

The Robert Penn anguage his ía. Evening the and CONVEYS mood that Ce/ (macy s Bive him et lative are SDeri -First Stanza, the 15-Winens Oar langung 2 Simply ty H in the nc describes ing act very elegat んき has hot but thin + tor Felin portraus ion nonn な the Sim personification 10 the o, line. two Ŧ <u>۲</u> Contrasted the Cre acomet Nies orchil matwe ind 4 55 - [/ tomaltanon's auglinche the - bre contrist eer Em antture! من Acize The a Feeling Carth OF gires Separation; ten their 64 two line connuted an e l' 1.he thread trom e six magicaltural gamages. ines the with the provide Through Neater in the traits 5 Cuttring. Cown Sei One hovest. inage fferent The ine idea May, des the truits not at mans Qrain. 15 agu 2 errori h: mrstakes he Fourth Monk. the Stenza 15 in She the rth lines 452 ¢ the

١B

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved.

1

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the examination.

He tern and ť۷ Stro. The himself 0. 2142 . ha last light H the a,C re this expectation gam De Mai IIê of Ey non innteraiving mor < hou Neder no 4 end rknech immens: + 2mn ino: Namen D٠ 45 12vcla in the ngenage lhe CarH Knows trent hine no 6ŕ٨ the Collar. Сл the chippondant en l insignitionne hold Mallin 185 many esterm

© 2006 The College Board. All rights reserved.

Evening Hawk" Robert Kenn Warren mem admin nc hich elega arace P - Anli うれじん do 20 anavan £ imari PChniauts he speaked na ant of a nawk ar \overline{V} SC th agains enath artilin nawk Duah ene n ١V 0 tor the mr tion n SIV owim Compl on

15 vening Simple. Hawk notata in The mords are WA a anaularit D Ir A he Pad Proch nat rappe ands and α scribed as na 5 Wisdom MINE air immense en streino P aai α a conves describing rren 1050n JU 0 Complex 4 elevant mirror. G 2annad psem appear Complex ele 1150 π ber max meanina anzas one Mr. an la Tour. 1171 <

Write in the box the number of the question you are an over on this page as it is designated in the examination. manipulater Show n v enat re. Shows lSamovement UNIN Tax -the ZW Mai 'n Evening Hawk ert ∂_{4} Marrens tur e Set that d iaht. moun 10 α nou usina strong di $c\tau$ his he $\alpha \geq$ iniration TON tre 11< Scene as interpr emo hawk_is Shan) bis. DP DUDM

AP[®] ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1

Overview

Students were asked to read carefully a poem, Robert Penn Warren's "Evening Hawk," and then to write a well-organized essay in which they identified the speaker's attitude toward the hawk and the natural world. In this essay, they were expected to analyze how the poet uses language to convey mood and meaning. The intent of this question was to test students' ability to read closely, paying attention to the resources of language used to convey the attitude of the speaker. To respond to the question successfully, students needed to have a firm grasp of the devices and techniques employed by poets and then to arrive at an analytical and defensible reading of the poem. Because this poem is allusive, rich, and challenging, most students were able to respond to it on some level, and the able students had many opportunities to demonstrate their skills.

Sample: 1A Score: 8

This superior essay is very nicely argued and compact, saying a great deal in a few words. From the excellent opening paragraph, which succinctly contrasts the "fragility of mankind" with the hawk's strength as a "powerful master of time," this student demonstrates an ability to be in complete control. The single body paragraph, over one-and-a-half pages long, moves through the poem systematically, discussing Robert Penn Warren's use of language in detail. For example, "The hawk appears 'out of a [*sic*] peak's black angularity of shadow'" and the following discussion aptly notes that "such language depicts the rigid, mathematical lines that the world is made of." Throughout the essay, brief quotations followed by succinct but probing commentary demonstrate just how adroit this student is at getting to the heart of a poem.

Sample: 1B Score: 6

This competent essay offers a reasonable analysis of the language Robert Penn Warren employs to convey mood and meaning in the poem. The student insightfully recognizes a disjunction between the realm of the hawk and the realm of mankind: "The language in the last stanza ... shows everything man knows as worthless." In contrast to the "personification of the sun building 'geometries and orchids,'" the realm of the "earth is so old it '[grinds] on its axis,' and 'history [drips] in darkness like a leaking pipe in the cellar.'" As a result, "the reader is left with a feeling of insignificance, provided by the despondant [*sic*] language Warren chose to use to describe many things mankind holds in high esteem." As shown by this concluding remark, this is an essay that grows into understanding; the discussion of the earlier stanzas of the poem is less probing and precise. There, quotations are followed by rather brief and flatfooted analyses, such as when the student asserts that the "contrast between an advanced sun and a primitive earth gives a feeling of separation." As a result, the student's overall analysis is less convincing than that of papers in the highest scoring range.

AP[®] ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2006 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1 (continued)

Sample: 1C Score: 4

The weakness of this essay is signaled from the very start, when the student asserts that Robert Penn Warren uses many language techniques to signal his admiration of the hawk, "primarily diction and syntax." Since all writing involves words and their arrangement, this is not an auspicious beginning. While some of the student's analyses are sound, others are quite weak and tautological, such as the statement that "Many of the adjectives chosen by Warren in describing the hawk are complex and elegant," making the hawk "appear complex and elegant," too. The analysis of this poem is finally partial: only the hawk is discussed, and the contrast Warren provides with less-perfect, human actions, or with the earth "grind[ing] on its axis," seems unnoticed or—at least—unexplored. Thus this response finally fails to offer an adequate analysis of the language of the poem.