

2017

**AP**<sup>®</sup>  CollegeBoard

---

# AP Research Presentation and Oral Defense Scoring Guidelines

**AP<sup>®</sup> RESEARCH 2017 SCORING GUIDELINES**  
**Performance Task Rubric: Presentation and Oral Defense**

Content Area	Performance Levels		
<b>1 Research Design</b>	The presentation states the research question/project goal OR method OR argument, conclusion or understanding. 1	The presentation states the research question/project goal AND method OR argument, conclusion or understanding. 2	The presentation states the research question/project goal AND method AND argument, conclusion or understanding. 3
<b>2 Establish Argument</b>	The presentation or additional scholarly work presents a generalized or oversimplified conclusion. 2	The presentation or additional scholarly work presents a logically organized argument and explains the connections between evidence and the conclusion. 4	The presentation or additional scholarly work presents an argument that identifies and explains the consequences and/or implications made in the conclusion. 6
<b>3 Reflect</b>	The presentation states simplistic or overgeneralized connections between their initial assumption or hypothesis and the student’s personal conclusion(s). 1	The presentation describes evidence that affirmed or refuted the student’s initial assumption or hypothesis. 2	The presentation explains how steps in the research process led to the development of the student’s personal conclusion(s). 3
<b>4 Engage Audience</b>	The design, delivery or performance techniques (e.g., eye contact, vocal variety, emphatic gestures, movement) hampers effective communication AND/OR severely limit the presentation’s impact. 2	The delivery of performance techniques (e.g., eye contact, vocal variety, emphatic gestures, movement) does not detract from the communication of the argument. 4	A careful selection, for a targeted audience, of design, delivery or performance techniques (e.g., eye contact, vocal variety, emphatic gestures, movement), coupled with a dynamic execution of those techniques, enhances the communication of the argument. 6
<b>5 Establish Argument</b> <i>(Research/Inquiry Process Defense Question)</i>	The oral defense identifies the inquiry choice in response to the question posed, but the explanation of the choice is superficial or illogical. 1	The oral defense provides a rationale by logically explaining why the choices made during the inquiry process were appropriate. 2	
<b>6 Establish Argument</b> <i>(Depth of Understanding Defense Question)</i>	The oral defense provides a simplistic response to the question posed with few, if any, details that would illuminate the new understanding. 1	The oral defense provides specific details to address the question posed and describes the relationship of those details to the new understanding. 2	
<b>7 Reflect</b> <i>(Reflection Throughout the Inquiry Process Defense Question)</i>	The oral defense makes a connection to personal learning in the context of the inquiry superficially, but does not necessarily answer the question posed. 1	The oral defense provides an explanation of how the project or process, in the context of the question posed, is significant for the student’s own understanding, self-awareness, or personal learning. 2	

**NOTE:** To receive the highest performance level presumes that the student also achieved the preceding performance levels in that row.

**ADDITIONAL SCORES:** In addition to the scores represented on the rubric, teachers can also assign scores of **0** (zero).

- A score of **0** is assigned to a single row of the rubric when the presentation displays a below-minimum level of quality as identified in that row of the rubric.
- A score of **0** is assigned to row 5, 6, or 7 of the rubric when the response is off-topic.