

AP

 **CollegeBoard**

AP[®] English Language and Composition

**2020 Free-Response Scoring Guidelines
applied to 2018 Exam Questions**

AP English Language and Composition

2020 Free-Response Scoring Guidelines (applied to 2018 questions)

Question 1: Synthesis Essay 6 points

Eminent domain is the power governments have to acquire property from private land owners for public use. The rationale behind eminent domain is that governments have greater legal authority over lands within their dominion than do private owners. Eminent domain has been instituted in one way or another throughout the world for hundreds of years.

Carefully read the following six sources, found on the [AP English Language and Composition 2018 Exam \(Question 1\)](#), including the introductory information for each source. Write an essay that synthesizes material from at least three of the sources and develops your position on the notion that eminent domain is productive and beneficial.

Source A (U.S. Department of Justice)

Source B (Carney)

Source C (Somin)

Source D (Porter)

Source E (cartoon)

Source F (Narciso)

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Select and use evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support your line of reasoning. Indicate clearly the sources used through direct quotation, paraphrase, or summary. Sources may be cited as Source A, Source B, etc., or by using the description in parentheses.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	
<p>Row A Thesis (0-1 points)</p> <p>4.B</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>For any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no defensible thesis. The intended thesis only restates the prompt. The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim. There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt. 	<p>1 point</p> <p>Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.</p>
Decision Rules and Scoring Notes		
<p>Responses that do not earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only restate the prompt. Do not take a position, or the position is vague or must be inferred. Equivocate or summarize other’s arguments but not the student’s (e.g., some people say it’s good, some people say it’s bad). State an obvious fact rather than making a claim that requires a defense. 		<p>Responses that earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respond to the prompt by developing a position on the notion that eminent domain is productive and beneficial, rather than restate or rephrase the prompt. Clearly takes a position rather than just stating there are pros/cons.
<p>Examples that do not earn this point:</p> <p>Restate the prompt</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “For hundreds of years, eminent domain has been used in one way or another around the world.” <p>Addresses the topic of the prompt but do not take a position</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Eminent domain is good and bad depending on what side you stand on and how it effects [sic] you or others.” [Sample A] “...many people are reluctant to agree that eminent domain is beneficial.” [Sample G] <p>Address the topic of the prompt but state an obvious fact as a claim</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Eminent domain gives government power.” 		<p>Examples that earn this point:</p> <p>Present a defensible position that responds to the prompt</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Eminent Domain is productive and beneficial because the government needs it to provide for its citizens and the people receive full compensation for what is lost.” [Sample D] “Eminent domain is productive [and] useful because it can open up opportunities for people, and it can benefit many people.” [Sample F] “Although eminent domain can be abused to benefit private interests at the expense of citizens, it is a vital tool of government that intends to have any influence on the land it governs beyond that of written law.” [Sample I] “The most common defenses for eminent domain, while well-intentioned, are ultimately built on flawed concepts that go against the American value of individual freedom.” [Sample B]
<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity. The thesis may be anywhere within the response. For a thesis to be defensible, the sources must include at least minimal evidence that <i>could</i> be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point. The thesis <i>may</i> establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point. A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning. 		

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
<p>Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)</p> <p>2.A 4.A 6.A 6.B 6.C</p>	<p>0 points Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or references fewer than two of the provided sources.</p>	<p>1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least two of the provided sources.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student’s argument.</p>	<p>2 points EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least three of the provided sources.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.</p>	<p>3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support all claims in a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.</p>	<p>4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support all claims in a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.</p>
Decision Rules and Scoring Notes					
<p>Typical responses that earn 0 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. • May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant. <i>[Sample C]</i> 	<p>Typical responses that earn 1 point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tend to focus on summary or description of sources rather than specific details. <i>[Sample G]</i> 	<p>Typical responses that earn 2 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. • May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument. <i>[Sample F]</i> • May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. • Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. <i>[Sample A]</i> 	<p>Typical responses that earn 3 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. • Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the sources to build an argument. • Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. • Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. <i>[Sample H]</i> 	<p>Typical responses that earn 4 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. • Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the sources to build an argument. • Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. <i>[Sample I and Sample E]</i> 	
<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row. 					

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	
<p>Row C</p> <p>Sophistication</p> <p>(0-1 points)</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>	<p>1 point</p> <p>Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation.</p>
<p>2.A</p> <p>4.C</p> <p>6.B</p> <p>8.A</p> <p>8.B</p> <p>8.C</p>	<p>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</p>	
	<p>Responses that do not earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempt to contextualize their argument, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations (“<i>In a world where...</i>” OR “<i>Since the beginning of time...</i>”). Only hint at or suggest other arguments (“<i>While some may argue that...</i>” OR “<i>Some people say...</i>”). Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the student's argument. 	<p>Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Crafting a nuanced argument by consistently identifying and exploring complexities or tensions across the sources. Articulating the implications or limitations of an argument (either the student’s argument or arguments conveyed in the sources) by situating it within a broader context. <i>[Sample I]</i> Making effective rhetorical choices that consistently strengthen the force and impact of the student’s argument. <i>[Sample E and Sample B]</i> Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. <i>[Sample I]</i>
	<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student’s argument, not merely a phrase or reference. 	

Question 2: Rhetorical Analysis

6 points

In 1997, then United States Secretary of State Madeleine Albright gave the commencement speech to the graduating class of Mount Holyoke College, a women's college in Massachusetts. The passage, found on the [AP English Language and Composition 2018 Exam \(Question 2\)](#), is an excerpt from the speech.

Read the passage carefully. Write an essay that analyzes the rhetorical choices Albright makes to convey her message that perseverance can make a difference.

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that analyzes the writer's rhetorical choices.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Demonstrate an understanding of the rhetorical situation.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	
<p>Row A</p> <p>Thesis (0-1 points)</p> <p>1.A</p> <p>4.B</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>For any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no defensible thesis. The intended thesis only restates the prompt. The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim. There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt. 	<p>1 point</p> <p>Responds to the prompt with a defensible thesis that analyzes the writer’s rhetorical choices.</p>
Decision Rules and Scoring Notes		
<p>Responses that do not earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only restate the prompt. Fail to address the rhetorical choices the writer of the passage makes. Describe or repeat the passage rather than making a claim that requires a defense. <p>Examples that do not earn this point:</p> <p>Restate the prompt</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Madeleine Albright reads the commencement letter to the graduating class.” [Sample E] “Albright uses rhetorical strategies in her speech.” <p>Make a claim but do not address the writer’s rhetorical choices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “...her speech give clear evidence that war is coming to an end.” [Sample C] <p>Repeat provided information from the passage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “In paragraphs 15-21 it talks about how they can do what they set their mind to just the children graduating.” [Sample C] 		<p>Responses that earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respond to the prompt rather than restate or rephrase the prompt <u>and</u> clearly articulate a defensible thesis about the rhetorical choices Albright makes to convey her message that perseverance can make a difference. <p>Examples that earn this point:</p> <p>Present a defensible thesis that analyzes the writer’s rhetorical choices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “By contrasting hypotheticals and reality, providing examples and personal anecdote, and strong repetition of the word ‘persevere’, Albright successfully conveys her message to these graduates that they must continue the fight for rights in the face of opposition and that they will succeed.” [Sample H]
<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity. The thesis may be anywhere within the response. For a thesis to be defensible, the passage must include at least minimal evidence that <i>could</i> be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point. The thesis <i>may</i> establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point. A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning. 		

Q2 Rhetorical Analysis – 2018 Samples

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
<p>Row B</p> <p>Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)</p> <p>1.A</p> <p>2.A</p> <p>4.A</p> <p>6.A</p> <p>6.B</p> <p>6.C</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.</p>	<p>1 point</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student’s argument.</p>	<p>2 points</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.</p>	<p>3 points</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Explains how at least one rhetorical choice in the passage contributes to the writer’s argument, purpose, or message.</p>	<p>4 points</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Explains how multiple rhetorical choices in the passage contribute to the writer’s argument, purpose, or message.</p>
Decision Rules and Scoring Notes					
<p>Typical responses that earn 0 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. • May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant. 	<p>Typical responses that earn 1 point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tend to focus on summary or description of a passage rather than specific details or techniques. <i>[Sample E and B]</i> • Mention rhetorical choices with little or no explanation. 	<p>Typical responses that earn 2 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. • May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument. <i>[Sample G]</i> • May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. • Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. <i>[Sample D]</i> 	<p>Typical responses that earn 3 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. • Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an argument. • Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. <i>[Sample A]</i> 	<p>Typical responses that earn 4 points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. • Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an argument. • Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. <i>[Sample F]</i> • Explain how the writer’s use of rhetorical choices contributes to the student’s interpretation of the passage. 	
<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row. • To earn the fourth point in this row, the response may observe multiple instances of the same rhetorical choice if each instance further contributes to the argument, purpose, or message of the passage. 					

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	
<p>Row C</p> <p>Sophistication (0-1 points)</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>	<p>1 point</p> <p>Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation.</p>
<p>2.A</p> <p>4.C</p> <p>6.B</p> <p>8.A</p> <p>8.B</p> <p>8.C</p>	Decision Rules and Scoring Notes	
	<p>Responses that do not earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempt to contextualize the text, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations (“<i>In a world where...</i>” OR “<i>Since the beginning of time...</i>”). Only hint at or suggest other arguments (“<i>While some may argue that...</i>” OR “<i>Some people say...</i>”). Examine individual rhetorical choices but do not examine the relationships among different choices throughout the text. <i>[Sample A and Sample D]</i> Oversimplify complexities in the text. Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the analysis. 	<p>Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Explaining the significance or relevance of the writer’s rhetorical choices (given the rhetorical situation). <i>[Sample H]</i> Explaining a purpose or function of the passage’s complexities or tensions. <i>[Sample H and Sample F]</i> Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. <i>[Sample H]</i>
	<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the argument, not merely a phrase or reference. 	

Question 3: Argument Essay

6 points

In her book *Gift from the Sea*, author and aviator Anne Morrow Lindbergh (1906–2001) writes, “We tend not to choose the unknown which might be a shock or a disappointment or simply a little difficult to cope with. And yet it is the unknown with all its disappointments and surprises that is the most enriching.”

Write an essay that argues your position on the value of exploring the unknown.

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Provide evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	
<p>Row A</p> <p>Thesis (0-1 points)</p> <p>4.B</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>For any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no defensible thesis. The intended thesis only restates the prompt. The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim. There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt. 	<p>1 point</p> <p>Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.</p>
Decision Rules and Scoring Notes		
<p>Responses that do not earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only restate the prompt. Do not take a position, or the position is vague or must be inferred. State an obvious fact rather than making a claim that requires a defense. 		<p>Responses that earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Respond to the prompt rather than restate or rephrase the prompt. Clearly takes a position on the value, if any, of exploring the unknown rather than just stating there are pros/cons.
<p>Examples that do not earn this point:</p> <p>Do not take a position</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“Exploring the unknown is that one dream or opportunity people think about doing, but never do it due to possible disappointment.” [Sample H]</i> <p>Address the topic of the prompt but are not defensible—it is an obvious fact stated as a claim</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“The unknown is a mystery.”</i> 		<p>Examples that earn this point:</p> <p>Present a defensible position that responds to the prompt</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“I believe that exploring the unknown is important to be a well-cultured and intelligent individual.” [Sample F]</i> <i>“In life, doing something unknown to us is often where most of our experiences and memories will be made. “Choosing” this unknown is vital for the development of society, and the development of ourselves.” [Sample I]</i> <i>“The unknown has allowed the human race to develop, improve and augment itself scientifically, culturally, and personally, and for those causes, it is clearly incredibly valuable.” [Sample C]</i>
<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity. The thesis may be anywhere within the response. The thesis <i>may</i> establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point. A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning. 		

Q3 Argument Essay – 2018 Samples

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria														
<p>Row B</p> <p>Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)</p> <p>2.A</p> <p>4.A</p> <p>6.A</p> <p>6.B</p> <p>6.C</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.</p>	<p>1 point</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the argument.</p>	<p>2 points</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.</p>	<p>3 points</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.</p>	<p>4 points</p> <p>EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.</p>										
Decision Rules and Scoring Notes															
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 678 642 743" style="width: 20%;">Typical responses that earn 0 points:</th> <th data-bbox="642 678 953 743" style="width: 20%;">Typical responses that earn 1 point:</th> <th data-bbox="953 678 1264 743" style="width: 20%;">Typical responses that earn 2 points:</th> <th data-bbox="1264 678 1575 743" style="width: 20%;">Typical responses that earn 3 points:</th> <th data-bbox="1575 678 1871 743" style="width: 20%;">Typical responses that earn 4 points:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 743 642 1356"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no evidence or evidence that is irrelevant. </td> <td data-bbox="642 743 953 1356"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tend to focus on summary of evidence rather than specific details. <i>[Sample F]</i> </td> <td data-bbox="953 743 1264 1356"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument. <i>[Samples A and B]</i> May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. </td> <td data-bbox="1264 743 1575 1356"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. <i>[Samples E and I]</i> </td> <td data-bbox="1575 743 1871 1356"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide commentary that engages specific evidence to draw conclusions. <i>[Samples C and G]</i> Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. <i>[Sample C and G]</i> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Typical responses that earn 0 points:	Typical responses that earn 1 point:	Typical responses that earn 2 points:	Typical responses that earn 3 points:	Typical responses that earn 4 points:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no evidence or evidence that is irrelevant. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tend to focus on summary of evidence rather than specific details. <i>[Sample F]</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument. <i>[Samples A and B]</i> May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. <i>[Samples E and I]</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide commentary that engages specific evidence to draw conclusions. <i>[Samples C and G]</i> Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. <i>[Sample C and G]</i>
Typical responses that earn 0 points:	Typical responses that earn 1 point:	Typical responses that earn 2 points:	Typical responses that earn 3 points:	Typical responses that earn 4 points:											
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no evidence or evidence that is irrelevant. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tend to focus on summary of evidence rather than specific details. <i>[Sample F]</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument. <i>[Samples A and B]</i> May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. <i>[Samples E and I]</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide commentary that engages specific evidence to draw conclusions. <i>[Samples C and G]</i> Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. <i>[Sample C and G]</i> 											
<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row. 															

Q3 Argument Essay – 2018 Samples

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria	
<p>Row C</p> <p>Sophistication (0-1 points)</p>	<p>0 points</p> <p>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>	<p>1 point</p> <p>Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or develops a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation.</p>
<p>2.A</p> <p>4.C</p> <p>6.B</p> <p>8.A</p> <p>8.B</p> <p>8.C</p>	<p>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</p>	
	<p>Responses that do not earn this point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempt to contextualize their argument, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations (“<i>In a world where...</i>” OR “<i>Since the beginning of time...</i>”). [Sample I] Only hint at or suggest other arguments (“<i>While some may argue that...</i>” OR “<i>Some people say...</i>”). [Sample I] Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the student's argument. 	<p>Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Crafting a nuanced argument by consistently identifying and exploring complexities or tensions. [Sample E] Articulating the implications or limitations of an argument (either the student’s argument or an argument related to the prompt) by situating it within a broader context. [Sample C, Sample G, and Sample E] Making effective rhetorical choices that consistently strengthen the force and impact of the student’s argument. [Sample C] Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. [Sample C and Sample G]
	<p>Additional Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student’s argument, not merely a phrase or reference. 	